Table A. Characteristics of Respondents that completed the survey

Characteristic	Weig	hted	Un-we	ighted
Category	Number	%	Number	%
Age		1		
18 years old	552	8.2	235	8.4
19 years old	1,046	15.6	464	16.6
20 years old	1,046	15.6	506	18.1
21 years old	1,099	16.4	450	16.1
22 years old	735	11.0	313	11.2
23 years old	267	4.0	107	3.8
24 years old	206	3.1	74	2.6
25 years or older	1,749	26.1	647	23.1
Student Affiliation	'		'	
Undergraduate	4,531	67.6	1,994	71.3
Graduate or Professional	2,169	32.4	802	28.7
Year in school/program	'		'	
Freshman	1,143	17.1	503	18.0
Sophomore	1,117	16.7	478	17.1
Junior	1,085	16.2	488	17.5
Senior	1,186	17.7	525	18.8
Graduate or Prof 1st year	824	12.3	300	10.7
Graduate or Prof 2nd year	678	10.1	252	9.0
Graduate or Prof 3rd year	216	3.2	81	2.9
Graduate or Prof 4th year or higher	452	6.7	169	6.0
Year first enrolled in the college or university	·			
2010 or earlier	460	6.9	172	6.2
2011	1,225	18.3	525	18.8
2012	1,245	18.6	545	19.5
2013	1,748	26.1	725	25.9
2014 or 2015	2,022	30.2	829	29.6
Hispanic or Latino?				
Yes	573	8.5	198	7.1
No	6,127	91.5	2,598	92.9

Table A. Characteristics of Respondents that completed the survey (continued)

Characteristic	Weig	thted	Un-we	ighted
Category	Number	%	Number	%
Race				
White only	3,961	59.1	1,888	67.5
Black only	443	6.6	129	4.6
Asian only	1,686	25.2	580	20.7
Other/Multi race	610	9.1	199	7.1
Gender Identity	<u> </u>			
Female	3,147	47.0	1,411	50.5
Male	3,476	51.9	1,356	48.5
Other	77	1.1	29	1.0
Sexual Orientation	<u>'</u>			
Heterosexual	5,977	90.5	2,501	90.7
Non-Heterosexual	629	9.5	255	9.3
Since enrolled in college or university, ha	ıve you been in a partn	ered relationship?		
Yes	5,219	77.9	2,208	79.0
No	1,476	22.0	586	21.0
Did not answer the question	S	S	S	S
Have a disability registered with the univ	versity?			
Yes	233	3.5	100	3.6
No	6,461	96.4	2,694	96.4
Did not answer the question	S	S	S	S

Table 1.1. Perceptions of Responses to Reporting Sexual Assault or Sexual Misconduct to an Official by Gender and Enrollment Status<sup>1,2</sup>

			Female (n=1,411)				Male (n=1,356)				
Survey Item	Total		_	Undergraduate (n=1,060)		Graduate or Professional (n=351)		raduate 912)	Graduate or Professional (n=444)		
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
If someone were	to report s	exual assa	ult or sexu	al miscondi	uct to an o	fficial, how	likely is it i	that			
Students would s	upport the	person mo	aking the re	eport.							
Not at all	1.4	0.2	1.4	0.3	2.7	0.7	0.6	0.2	2.1	0.5	
A little	8.2	0.4	10.0	0.7	13.2	1.3	4.0	0.5	7.4	0.9	
Somewhat	33.0	0.7	38.9	1.2	39.0	2.1	24.0	1.1	33.7	1.8	
Very	43.8	0.8	38.6	1.3	41.0	2.0	50.4	1.4	44.8	1.8	
Extremely	13.6	0.5	11.1	0.7	4.1	0.7	21.0	1.1	12.0	1.1	
The alleged offen	der(s) or t	heir associo	ates would	retaliate a	gainst the	person ma	king the re	port.	1		
Not at all	11.8	0.5	4.3	0.5	7.0	1.1	20.9	1.1	12.1	1.2	
A little	28.5	0.7	23.6	1.0	21.7	1.9	35.4	1.3	30.8	1.9	
Somewhat	35.4	0.8	38.0	1.1	41.8	2.4	28.6	1.3	37.8	2.1	
Very	18.7	0.6	25.8	1.1	22.8	1.7	11.2	0.8	15.9	1.5	
Extremely	5.8	0.3	8.3	0.7	6.7	1.1	4.0	0.5	3.4	0.8	
Campus officials	would take	the repor	t seriously.								
Not at all	3.0	0.3	4.2	0.6	3.9	0.8	2.5	0.5	0.9	0.3	
A little	8.4	0.4	11.3	0.9	10.6	1.6	4.5	0.5	7.2	0.9	
Somewhat	20.8	0.6	29.4	1.1	26.6	1.7	12.3	1.0	16.2	1.5	
Very	37.6	0.7	35.3	1.2	40.0	1.9	36.8	1.4	42.3	1.7	
Extremely	30.2	0.7	19.8	1.1	18.9	1.7	43.9	1.5	33.3	1.6	

Table 1.1. Perceptions of Responses to Reporting Sexual Assault or Sexual Misconduct to an Official by Gender and Enrollment Status<sup>1,2</sup> (continued)

				Female (ı	n=1,411)			Male (n	=1,356)		
Survey Item	Survey Item Total		Undergraduate (n=1,060)		Profes	Graduate or Professional (n=351)		Undergraduate (n=912)		Graduate or Professional (n=444)	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Campus officials	would pro	otect the so	afety of the	person ma	king the re	port.					
Not at all	3.1	0.3	5.5	0.6	3.3	0.7	1.5	0.4	1.5	0.4	
A little	10.4	0.5	15.3	1.0	13.4	1.5	4.9	0.6	8.2	0.9	
Somewhat	26.1	0.6	32.6	1.1	32.8	2.0	19.6	1.1	22.1	1.5	
Very	36.2	0.7	30.9	1.2	38.3	2.0	37.4	1.4	42.1	1.8	
Extremely	24.2	0.6	15.8	0.8	12.1	1.4	36.6	1.3	26.3	1.3	
Campus officials would conduct a fair investigation.											
Not at all	6.5	0.3	6.8	0.6	4.9	0.9	7.1	0.6	5.5	0.9	
A little	15.0	0.5	19.0	1.1	14.7	1.6	12.6	0.8	11.5	1.1	
Somewhat	35.3	0.7	38.0	1.1	37.9	1.8	33.5	1.3	31.7	1.9	
Very	30.5	0.7	26.8	1.2	33.7	1.8	29.6	1.2	37.1	1.8	
Extremely	12.7	0.5	9.3	0.6	8.8	1.2	17.1	1.0	14.1	1.4	
Campus officials	would tal	ke action a	gainst the d	offender(s).							
Not at all	6.8	0.4	10.9	0.7	7.4	1.0	3.3	0.6	4.5	0.7	
A little	16.1	0.6	24.4	1.1	20.0	1.8	8.3	0.7	12.1	1.1	
Somewhat	32.3	0.6	33.1	1.2	41.1	1.7	26.9	1.1	34.4	2.2	
Very	29.8	0.6	24.3	1.1	24.0	1.8	35.6	1.3	33.9	2.1	
Extremely	15.0	0.5	7.3	0.6	7.5	1.3	25.8	1.2	15.1	1.3	
Campus officials	would tal	ke action to	address fo	actors that i	may have l	ed to the s	exual assau	ılt or sexual	miscondu	ct.	
Not at all	9.9	0.4	13.0	0.8	10.2	1.1	7.1	0.7	8.4	0.9	
A little	19.4	0.6	25.0	1.1	20.4	1.7	15.1	0.8	16.2	1.3	
Somewhat	33.3	0.7	32.7	1.0	37.9	1.7	32.0	1.4	32.9	2.1	
Very	26.2	0.6	21.0	1.1	25.7	1.8	29.4	1.3	31.0	1.8	
Extremely	11.2	0.4	8.3	0.7	5.8	0.9	16.3	0.9	11.5	1.2	

Table 1.1. Perceptions of Responses to Reporting Sexual Assault or Sexual Misconduct to an Official by Gender and Enrollment Status<sup>1,2</sup> (continued)

		TGQN	(n=29)		
Survey Item	Undergi (n=		Graduate or Professional (n=7)		
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
If someone were to report	sexual assault or sexual	misconduct to an officia	l, how likely is it that		
Students would support th	e person making the rep	ort.			
Not at all	-	-	-	-	
A little	31.3	8.7	39.4	16.7	
Somewhat	41.5	8.2	60.6	16.7	
Very	14.6	5.5	-	-	
Extremely	12.6	4.9	-	-	
The alleged offender(s) or	their associates would re	etaliate against the perso	on making the report.		
Not at all	S	S	S	S	
A little	S	S	-	-	
Somewhat	47.8	9.3	S	S	
Very	35.2	9.4	S	S	
Extremely	S	S	S	S	
Campus officials would tak	e the report seriously.				
Not at all	S	S	S	S	
A little	34.4	7.4	S	S	
Somewhat	26.2	6.9	S	S	
Very	22.6	7.8	S	S	
Extremely	13.4	5.5	-	-	

Table 1.1. Perceptions of Responses to Reporting Sexual Assault or Sexual Misconduct to an Official by Gender and Enrollment Status<sup>1,2</sup> (continued)

		TGQN	(n=29)	
Survey Item	Undergi (n=			Professional =7)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Campus official would pr	otect the safety of the p	erson making the report		
Not at all	S	S	S	S
A little	33.2	9.3	S	S
Somewhat	23.2	8.0	S	S
Very	31.0	8.8	S	S
Extremely	S	S	S	S
Campus officials would c	onduct a fair investigati	on.		
Not at all	13.5	5.8	S	S
A little	32.4	7.6	S	S
Somewhat	31.2	7.5	60.6	16.7
Very	19.0	6.6	S	S
Extremely	S	S	-	-
Campus officials would to	ake action against the o	ffender(s).		
Not at all	24.1	6.6	S	S
A little	33.3	9.3	S	S
Somewhat	26.9	6.6	55.5	17.0
Very	15.7	6.3	S	S
Extremely	-	-	-	-
Campus officials would to	ake action to address fa	ctors that may have led	to the sexual assault or se	exual misconduct.
Not at all	29.6	7.6	S	S
A little	27.0	7.9	S	S
Somewhat	30.1	6.8	63.5	15.6
Very	S	S	-	-
Extremely	S	S	-	-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Per 100 students.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>TGQN = Transgender woman, Transgender man, Genderqueer, gender non-conforming, questioning, not listed.

Table 1.2. Bystander Intervention Upon Witnessing Sexual Assault or Sexual Misconduct by Gender and Enrollment Status<sup>1,2</sup>

				Female (n	=1,411)			Male (n=	1,356)	
Survey Item	To	Total		Undergraduate (n=1,060)		Graduate or Professional (n=351)		Undergraduate (n=912)		uate or ssional 444)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Have you been in any of the following situations? If so,	what did y	ou do?								
Suspected a friend was sexually assaulted										
Yes	29.3	0.7	47.4	1.2	20.6	1.8	25.5	1.1	9.7	1.1
Did nothing because I wasn't sure what to do	9.2	0.8	9.3	1.1	13.9	4.0	7.5	1.3	10.7	4.1
Did nothing for another reason	19.0	1.1	18.9	1.4	17.3	3.6	18.8	2.2	26.6	6.0
Spoke to my friend or someone else to seek help	61.9	1.4	64.6	1.7	61.3	4.9	59.6	2.8	45.2	5.5
Took action in another way	9.9	0.7	7.2	0.9	7.5	2.6	14.0	2.0	17.4	4.5
No	70.7	0.7	52.6	1.2	79.4	1.8	74.5	1.1	90.3	1.1
Witnessed drunk person heading for sexual encounter		'				'				<u>'</u>
Yes	61.1	0.7	71.4	1.3	43.7	2.2	67.5	1.0	43.9	2.0
Did nothing because I wasn't sure what to do	16.3	0.7	17.8	1.0	19.6	2.3	13.6	1.3	18.2	2.1
Did nothing for another reason	52.8	1.0	44.6	1.4	50.5	3.4	57.4	1.8	66.8	2.8
Directly intervened to stop it	9.1	0.6	12.1	1.2	7.3	1.7	8.6	0.9	3.8	1.5
Spoke to someone else to seek help	10.2	0.5	13.1	1.0	10.4	2.1	8.9	1.0	3.0	0.9
Took action in another way	11.5	0.6	12.4	1.0	12.1	1.9	11.6	1.3	8.3	1.5
No	38.9	0.7	28.6	1.3	56.3	2.2	32.5	1.0	56.1	2.0

Table 1.2. Bystander Intervention Upon Witnessing Sexual Assault or Sexual Misconduct by Gender and Enrollment Status<sup>1,2</sup> (continued)

	Total		Female (n=1,411)				Male (n=1,356)				
Survey Item			Undergraduate (n=1,060)		Graduate or Professional (n=351)		Undergraduate (n=912)		Graduate or Professional (n=444)		
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Witnessed someone acting in sexually violent or harassing manner											
Yes	25.0	0.7	35.8	1.2	18.3	1.6	24.0	1.2	11.1	1.1	
Did nothing because I wasn't sure what to do	16.3	1.1	20.4	1.7	26.1	4.9	5.7	1.2	24.5	4.7	
Did nothing for another reason	26.0	1.4	25.8	1.8	28.4	4.6	24.5	2.7	32.1	5.6	
Directly intervened to stop it	24.0	1.1	20.4	1.5	14.3	3.4	31.5	2.6	26.5	4.2	
Spoke to someone else to seek help	18.8	1.1	20.9	1.6	14.0	3.4	20.3	2.3	5.8	2.6	
Took action in another way	14.9	1.0	12.6	1.3	17.2	4.6	17.9	2.2	11.2	4.6	
No	75.0	0.7	64.2	1.2	81.7	1.6	76.0	1.2	88.9	1.1	

Table 1.2. Bystander Intervention Upon Witnessing Sexual Assault or Sexual Misconduct by Gender and Enrollment Status<sup>1,2</sup> (continued)

		TGQN (n=29)						
Survey Item		raduate 22)	Graduate or Professional (n=7)					
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr				
Have you been in any of the following situations? If so, what did	l you do?							
Suspected a friend was sexually assaulted								
Yes	55.7	7.8	24.9	13.8				
Did nothing because I wasn't sure what to do	-	-	s	S				
Did nothing for another reason	S	S	-	-				
Spoke to my friend or someone else to seek help	81.3	9.8	S	S				
Took action in another way	S	S	-	-				
No	44.3	7.8	75.1	13.8				
Witnessed drunk person heading for sexual encounter	<u>'</u>							
Yes	61.6	8.0	53.7	16.8				
Did nothing because I wasn't sure what to do	S	S	-	-				
Did nothing for another reason	25.9	9.0	79.9	18.0				
Directly intervened to stop it	S	S	-	-				
Spoke to someone else to seek help	41.5	10.9	S	S				
Took action in another way	S	S	-	-				
No	38.4	8.0	46.3	16.8				

Table 1.2. Bystander Intervention Upon Witnessing Sexual Assault or Sexual Misconduct by Gender and Enrollment Status<sup>1,2</sup> (continued)

		TGQN (n=29)							
Survey Item	Underg (n=	raduate 22)		Professional =7)					
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr					
Witnessed someone acting in sexually violent or harassing manner									
Yes	57.3	8.1	35.7	15.4					
Did nothing because I wasn't sure what to do	S	S	S	S					
Did nothing for another reason	S	S	S	S					
Directly intervened to stop it	25.0	10.1	S	S					
Spoke to someone else to seek help	28.0	11.7	-	-					
Took action in another way	26.1	11.2	-	-					
No	42.7	8.1	64.3	15.4					

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Per 100 students.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>TGQN = Transgender woman, Transgender man, Genderqueer, gender non-conforming, questioning, not listed.

Table 1.3. Perceptions Related to Sexual Assault or Sexual Misconduct by Gender and Enrollment Status<sup>1,2</sup>

				Female (	n=1,411)			Male (n	=1,356)	
Survey Item	Total		Undergraduate (n=1,060)		Graduate or Professional (n=351)		Undergraduate (n=912)		Graduate or Professional (n=444)	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Sexual assault or	sexual mi	sconduct a	problem a	t university	,					
Not at all	9.6	0.4	3.7	0.4	8.1	1.3	10.8	0.9	19.0	1.3
A little	23.0	0.6	17.6	0.9	18.0	1.6	29.4	1.4	26.2	1.8
Somewhat	37.5	0.7	38.6	1.3	39.8	2.1	36.9	1.2	36.0	1.9
Very	22.5	0.6	29.4	1.1	27.8	1.9	17.1	1.0	14.6	1.5
Extremely	7.3	0.3	10.7	0.7	6.3	1.0	5.7	0.6	4.1	0.7
Likelihood of exp	eriencing :	sexual asso	ult or sexu	al miscond	uct on cam	pus				
Not at all	51.3	0.7	23.7	1.1	39.1	2.1	67.8	1.2	82.3	1.5
A little	29.3	0.7	36.9	1.1	40.4	2.4	24.9	1.2	15.5	1.2
Somewhat	13.1	0.4	25.2	1.0	14.2	1.7	6.0	0.6	1.8	0.5
Very	4.3	0.3	9.9	0.8	4.3	0.8	1.1	0.3	s	S
Extremely	2.0	0.2	4.4	0.5	2.1	0.7	0.3	0.1	-	-
Likelihood of exp	eriencing :	sexual asso	ult or sexu	al miscond	uct off can	npus at uni	versity-spo	nsored ever	nts	
Not at all	54.8	0.6	31.4	1.1	39.5	1.8	72.4	1.2	78.1	1.5
A little	30.3	0.6	41.1	1.1	41.2	2.2	21.5	1.1	19.1	1.3
Somewhat	12.3	0.4	22.5	1.0	15.9	1.4	5.4	0.6	2.6	0.7
Very	2.0	0.2	4.1	0.5	2.5	0.7	0.3	0.1	S	s
Extremely	0.6	0.1	0.9	0.3	0.9	0.4	0.5	0.2	-	-

Table 1.3. Perceptions Related to Sexual Assault or Sexual Misconduct by Gender and Enrollment Status<sup>1,2</sup> (continued)

		TGQN (n=29)									
Survey Item	Underg (n=		Graduate or Professional (n=7)								
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr							
Sexual assault or sexual i	misconduct a problem at universit	у									
Not at all	S	S	S	S							
A little	-	-	S	S							
Somewhat	25.4	8.2	-	-							
Very	52.7	8.6	S	S							
Extremely	18.0	7.7	S	S							
Likelihood of experiencin	g sexual assault or sexual miscond	duct on campus									
Not at all	16.7	7.0	51.0	16.9							
A little	38.2	7.8	S	S							
Somewhat	41.8	9.9	-	-							
Very	-	-	-	-							
Extremely	S	S	S	S							
Likelihood of experiencin	g sexual assault or sexual miscond	duct off campus at university-spon	sored events								
Not at all	26.0	7.8	51.0	16.9							
A little	23.1	6.6	S	S							
Somewhat	34.1	8.2	S	S							
Very	16.8	6.5	-	-							
Extremely	-	-	S	S							

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Per 100 students.

12

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>TGQN = Transgender woman, Transgender man, Genderqueer, gender non-conforming, questioning, not listed.

Table 2.1. Knowledge and Perceptions About Resources Related to Sexual Assault or Sexual Misconduct by Gender and Enrollment Status<sup>1</sup>

				Female (n	=1,411)			Male (n=	1,356)	Graduate or Professional (n=444)  % StdErr  25.3 1.5  86.3 1.2  55.2 1.8		
Survey Item	T	otal	Undergraduate (n=1,060)		Profe	iate or ssional 351)	Undergraduate (n=912)		Profe	ssional		
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr		
Awareness of services												
Aware of services provided by Sexual Assault Awareness Program (SAAP) or Survivor Advocate	65.1	0.5	86.7	0.8	27.7	1.8	80.5	0.9	25.3	1.5		
Aware of services provided by Dartmouth Dick's House - Health Services	92.8	0.4	95.8	0.5	89.9	1.2	94.9	0.6	86.3	1.2		
Aware of services provided by Dartmouth Counseling	71.5	0.6	80.2	0.9	65.0	2.0	74.5	1.2	55.2	1.8		
Aware of services provided by Residential Life Staff (e.g., Community Directors, UGAs)	65.1	0.6	88.1	0.8	17.6	1.5	86.8	0.9	19.3	1.4		
Aware of services provided by Undergraduate Deans	62.1	0.5	84.7	0.8	14.8	1.4	84.5	0.9	15.2	1.1		
Aware of services provided by Graduate and Professional School Deans of Student Affairs	26.0	0.6	12.6	0.8	49.3	2.1	19.6	0.9	45.1	1.7		
Aware of services provided by Title IX Coordinator	30.2	0.7	34.4	1.3	20.2	1.8	34.4	1.1	20.9	1.4		
Aware of services provided by Office of Religious and Spiritual Life (Chaplains), Tucker Foundation	29.9	0.6	37.9	0.9	14.7	1.7	37.7	1.4	12.5	1.2		
Aware of services provided by Center for Gender and Student Engagement (CGSE)	24.8	0.6	38.0	1.2	6.0	1.0	28.4	1.3	6.0	0.9		
Aware of services provided by Office of Pluralism and Leadership (OPAL)	42.3	0.5	59.3	1.2	11.6	1.3	55.9	1.1	8.6	0.9		
Aware of services provided by Judicial Affairs	42.5	0.7	50.5	1.3	11.9	1.4	61.2	1.4	16.2	1.4		
Aware of services provided by Dartmouth Department of Safety and Security	75.2	0.7	83.7	1.0	63.3	2.0	81.6	1.0	56.8	1.9		
Aware of services provided by Local Police Department	66.3	0.8	68.9	1.3	54.1	2.3	74.8	1.1	56.2	1.6		

Table 2.1. Knowledge and Perceptions About Resources Related to Sexual Assault or Sexual Misconduct by Gender and Enrollment Status<sup>1</sup>

				emale (n	=1,411)			Male (n=	1,356)	
Survey Item		Total		raduate ,060)	Graduate or Professional (n=351)		Undergraduate (n=912)		Profes	iate or ssional 444)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Aware of services provided by WISE of the Upper Valley (or local rape crisis center)	49.3	0.6	64.6	1.0	46.8	2.1	49.3	1.1	22.3	1.6
Aware of services provided by Other	5.1	0.3	6.0	0.5	3.0	0.7	6.4	0.6	2.6	0.6

Table 2.1. Knowledge and Perceptions About Resources Related to Sexual Assault or Sexual Misconduct by Gender and Enrollment Status<sup>1</sup> (continued)

				Female (	(n=1,411)			Male (r	=1,356)	
Survey Item	То	tal	Undergraduate (n=1,060)			ate or ssional 351)	_	raduate 912)	Profe	uate or ssional 444)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Knowledgeable about how	sexual assau	lt and sexual	misconduct a	lefined at uni	versity					
Not at all	9.4	0.4	3.9	0.4	26.5	2.0	3.9	0.5	16.9	1.4
A little bit	20.7	0.6	19.0	0.9	28.1	1.8	14.2	0.8	30.6	1.5
Somewhat	37.3	0.8	39.7	1.3	31.8	2.1	37.4	1.2	36.5	1.8
Very	25.2	0.7	29.1	1.1	10.3	1.1	33.8	1.4	14.5	1.5
Extremely	7.4	0.4	8.4	0.7	3.3	0.8	10.8	0.8	1.4	0.4
Knowledgeable about whe	re to get help	at university	if student or	friend experie	ence sexual as	ssault or sexu	al misconduc	t		
Not at all	5.1	0.4	2.0	0.3	10.3	1.2	2.3	0.3	12.2	1.3
A little bit	15.6	0.6	11.5	0.7	29.5	1.6	11.1	0.8	22.1	1.9
Somewhat	34.9	0.6	33.8	1.1	33.7	2.1	31.7	1.2	43.6	1.8
Very	31.0	0.7	35.0	1.2	19.9	1.6	39.0	1.3	18.1	1.3
Extremely	13.3	0.5	17.8	0.8	6.6	1.1	15.9	1.1	4.0	0.7
Knowledgeable about whe	re to make a	report if stude	ent or friend o	experience se	xual assault d	r sexual misc	onduct at uni	iversity	,	
Not at all	13.1	0.5	12.7	0.9	24.0	1.6	6.6	0.5	17.2	1.4
A little bit	20.0	0.7	19.7	1.1	27.0	1.8	15.7	0.9	23.8	1.6
Somewhat	34.6	0.7	35.6	1.2	30.1	1.9	33.5	1.2	38.0	1.9
Very	21.8	0.6	20.4	1.0	13.7	1.8	29.1	1.3	16.8	1.4
Extremely	10.6	0.5	11.5	0.8	5.2	1.0	15.0	1.1	4.2	0.7

Table 2.1. Knowledge and Perceptions About Resources Related to Sexual Assault or Sexual Misconduct by Gender and Enrollment Status<sup>1</sup> (continued)

				Female (	n=1,411)			Male (n	=1,356)	
Survey Item	Total			ergraduate Profe		uate or ssional 351)		raduate 912)	Profes	iate or ssional 444)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Knowledgeable about what happens when a	student rep	oorts sexual	assault or s	sexual misco	nduct at ui	niversity				
Not at all	27.7	0.6	23.1	1.1	45.3	1.9	18.9	1.0	39.5	1.9
A little bit	27.9	0.6	28.9	1.1	29.1	1.7	25.9	1.2	28.7	1.8
Somewhat	31.0	0.6	33.5	0.9	18.4	1.7	36.5	1.3	25.3	1.3
Very	9.0	0.4	10.1	0.8	5.4	1.1	11.3	0.8	5.4	0.8
Extremely	4.5	0.3	4.4	0.5	1.8	0.5	7.4	0.7	1.0	0.4
Initial university orientation included informa	ation about	sexual assa	ult or sexud	ıl miscondud	rt					
Yes	77.0	1.1	89.4	1.7	50.4	4.1	86.8	1.7	65.8	2.8
Not at all	6.7	0.8	2.5	0.8	10.6	3.3	7.7	1.4	10.1	2.1
A little	17.7	1.2	14.7	1.6	23.7	4.4	13.2	1.9	27.8	4.0
Somewhat	43.3	1.5	47.0	2.8	51.0	5.2	38.8	2.4	41.2	3.5
Very	27.8	1.5	33.2	2.5	12.2	3.1	32.5	2.2	17.6	3.1
Extremely	4.6	0.7	2.7	0.7	S	S	7.7	1.3	3.4	1.5
No	6.5	0.7	0.7	0.6	21.8	2.9	2.6	0.8	7.2	1.8
I didn't attend orientation	3.6	3.6	0.6	1.1	5.1	1.8	2.1	0.6	4.9	1.4
I don't remember	12.9	1.0	1.0	1.1	22.6	3.4	8.5	1.4	22.1	2.8

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Per 100 students.

Table 3.1a. Percent of Undergraduate Female Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Tactic, Current Year vs. Since Entering College and Enrollment Status<sup>1</sup>

Survey Item	Curren	t School	Year	Since En	tering Co	ollege
Response	Number	%	StdErr	Number	%	StdErr
Total Involving physical force or incapacitation	272	12.0	0.8	632	27.9	1.0
Penetration	113	5.0	0.5	301	13.3	0.7
Physical force only	44	1.9	0.3	139	6.2	0.5
Completed	21	0.9	0.2	71	3.1	0.5
Attempted	27	1.2	0.2	100	4.4	0.5
Incapacitation only	64	2.8	0.4	179	7.9	0.6
Both physical force and incapacitation	11	0.5	0.2	42	1.9	0.4
Sexual Touching	189	8.3	0.8	489	21.6	1.0
Physical force only	119	5.2	0.5	332	14.7	0.9
Incapacitation only	79	3.5	0.5	204	9.0	0.7
Both physical force and incapacitation	S	S	S	15	0.7	0.2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Per 100 students.

Table 3.1b. Percent of Graduate Female Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Tactic, Current Year vs. Since Entering College and Enrollment Status<sup>1</sup>

Survey Item	Current	School	Year	Since Er	itering Co	ollege
Response	Number	%	StdErr	Number	%	StdErr
Total Involving physical force or incapacitation	39	4.5	1.0	91	10.3	1.7
Penetration	S	S	S	29	3.3	0.8
Physical force only	S	s	s	18	2.1	0.7
Completed	S	S	S	12	1.3	0.6
Attempted	-	-	-	9	1.1	0.5
Incapacitation only	-	-	-	11	1.2	0.5
Both physical force and incapacitation	-	-	-	S	S	S
Sexual Touching	37	4.2	0.9	75	8.5	1.6
Physical force only	28	3.2	0.8	53	5.9	1.4
Incapacitation only	14	1.6	0.5	23	2.5	0.7
Both physical force and incapacitation	S	S	S	9	1.0	0.5

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Per 100 students.

Table 3.1c. Percent of Undergraduate Male Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Tactic, Current Year vs. Since Entering College and Enrollment Status<sup>1</sup>

Survey Item	Current	School	Year	Since Ent	ering C	ollege
Response	Number	%	StdErr	Number	%	StdErr
Total Involving physical force or incapacitation	37	1.7	0.3	100	4.5	0.5
Penetration	12	0.5	0.2	38	1.7	0.3
Physical force only	S	S	S	9	0.4	0.2
Completed	S	S	S	S	S	S
Attempted	S	S	S	7	0.3	0.1
Incapacitation only	10	0.5	0.2	30	1.4	0.3
Both physical force and incapacitation	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sexual Touching	31	1.4	0.3	75	3.4	0.5
Physical force only	21	0.9	0.3	58	2.6	0.5
Incapacitation only	10	0.4	0.2	24	1.1	0.3
Both physical force and incapacitation	-	-	-	S	S	S

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Per 100 students.

Table 3.1d. Percent of Graduate Male Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Tactic, Current Year vs. Since Entering College and Enrollment Status<sup>1</sup>

Survey Item	Current	School	Year	Since Ent	tering C	ollege
Response	Number	%	StdErr	Number	%	StdErr
Total Involving physical force or incapacitation	12	1.0	0.3	31	2.4	0.6
Penetration	S	S	S	10	0.8	0.3
Physical force only	S	S	S	S	S	S
Completed	S	S	S	S	S	S
Attempted	-	-	-	-	-	-
Incapacitation only	S	S	S	7	0.6	0.3
Both physical force and incapacitation	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sexual Touching	10	0.8	0.3	26	2.0	0.5
Physical force only	7	0.6	0.3	18	1.4	0.4
Incapacitation only	S	S	S	S	S	S
Both physical force and incapacitation	S	S	S	S	S	S

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Per 100 students.

Table 3.1e. Percent of Undergraduate Students of Other Gender Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Tactic, Current Year vs. Since Entering College and Enrollment Status<sup>1</sup>

Survey Item	Curren	t School	Year	Since En	tering Co	ollege
Response	Number	%	StdErr	Number	%	StdErr
Total Involving physical force or incapacitation	7	13.0	5.2	15	26.4	6.8
Penetration	-	-	-	S	S	S
Physical force only	-	-	-	S	s	S
Completed	-	-	-	S	S	S
Attempted	-	-	-	-	-	-
Incapacitation only	-	-	-	-	-	-
Both physical force and incapacitation	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sexual Touching	7	13.0	5.2	15	26.4	6.8
Physical force only	7	13.0	5.2	12	21.5	6.3
Incapacitation only	-	-	-	S	S	S
Both physical force and incapacitation	-	-	-	-	-	-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Per 100 students.

Table 3.1f. Percent of Graduate Students of Other Gender Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Tactic, Current Year vs. Since Entering College and Enrollment Status<sup>1</sup>

Survey Item	Current	Schoo	l Year	Since Ent	tering C	College
Response	Number	%	StdErr	Number	%	StdErr
Total Involving physical force or incapacitation	-	-	-	-	-	-
Penetration	-	-	-	-	-	-
Physical force only	-	-	-	-	-	-
Completed	-	-	-	-	-	-
Attempted	-	-	-	-	-	-
Incapacitation only	-	-	-	-	-	-
Both physical force and incapacitation	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sexual Touching	-	-	-	-	-	-
Physical force only	-	-	-	-	-	-
Incapacitation only	-	-	-	-	-	-
Both physical force and incapacitation	-	-	-	-	-	-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Per 100 students.

Table 3.2. Percent of Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Victim Characteristics, Gender and Enrollment Status<sup>1, 2, 3</sup>

			ı	Female (n	=1,411)		Male (n=1,356)				
Survey Item	T	otal		raduate ,060)	Profes	iate or ssional 351)		raduate 912)	Profes	ate or ssional 444)	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Sexual orientation											
Heterosexual	12.3	0.5	26.0	1.1	10.5	1.8	4.4	0.5	2.6	0.6	
Non-Heterosexual	20.0	1.8	45.6	4.3	S	S	5.9	2.9	-	-	
Ethnicity											
Hispanic	13.3	1.8	33.6	3.6	s	s	S	S	-	-	
Not Hispanic	13.0	0.5	27.5	1.1	10.5	1.7	4.8	0.6	2.6	0.6	
Race											
American Indian or Alaska Native	28.5	3.7	48.3	5.8	_	-	S	S	_	-	
Asian	9.8	0.8	21.7	2.0	9.1	2.4	2.4	0.9	S	s	
Black or African American	19.5	2.3	30.3	3.5	36.2	10.1	7.3	2.6	-	-	
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-     -   	
White	13.6	0.6	30.5	1.2	9.7	1.8	5.2	0.6	3.2	0.8	
Disability											
Yes	18.4	3.6	34.5	6.0	s	s	-	-	22.8	12.6	
No	12.8	0.5	27.7	1.1	10.2	1.7	4.7	0.6	1.9	0.5	
Marital status											
Never married	6.0	1.0	-	-	10.0	2.0	-	-	2.8	0.9	
Not married but living with a partner	8.9	1.9	-	-	10.8	3.1	-	-	7.0	2.5	
Married	1.9	0.8	-	-	7.6	3.2	-	-	-	-	
Divorced or separated	S	S	-	-	s	s	-	-	-	-	
Other	S	S	-	-	s	s	-	-	_	-	

Table 3.2. Percent of Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Victim Characteristics, Gender and Enrollment Status<sup>1, 2, 3</sup> (continued)

				Female (n	=1,411)			Male (n=	1,356)	
Survey Item	т	otal		raduate ,060)	Profe	ate or ssional 351)		raduate 912)	Profe	iate or ssional 444)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Year in School and Ti	ming of	Incidents								
Undergraduate										
Current year										
Freshman	7.8	1.0	13.7	1.8	-	-	2.1	0.7	-	-
Sophomore	9.2	1.0	14.7	1.7	-	-	2.0	0.6	-	-
Junior	4.7	0.7	8.3	1.2	-	-	1.6	0.7	-	-
Senior	6.0	0.9	10.7	1.7	-	-	S	S	-	-
Since entering co	ollege									
Freshman	7.8	1.0	13.7	1.8	-	-	2.1	0.7	-	-
Sophomore	16.6	1.2	28.5	2.0	-	-	2.6	0.8	-	-
Junior	19.3	1.4	35.8	2.6	-	-	4.5	1.1	-	-
Senior	22.1	1.5	33.8	2.2	-	-	8.9	1.6	-	-

Table 3.2. Percent of Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Victim Characteristics, Gender and Enrollment Status<sup>1, 2, 3</sup> (continued)

	urvey Item			Female (n	=1,411)			Male (n=	1,356)	
Survey Item			Undergraduate (n=1,060)		Graduate or Professional (n=351)			raduate 912)	Graduate or Professional (n=444)	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Graduate/Professional										
Current year										
1st year	3.5	0.9	-	_	6.0	1.8	-	-	1.9	0.7
2nd year	1.5	0.6	-	-	2.8	1.2	-	-	s	S
3rd year	3.5	2.0	-	-	8.1	4.5	-	-	-	-
4th year	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	_	-	-
5th year	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	-	-	-
6th year or higher	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Since entering college										
1st year	4.5	1.0	-	-	7.7	2.0	-	-	2.6	0.9
2nd year	5.5	1.2	-	-	11.7	2.9	-	-	S	S
3rd year	8.3	2.9	-	-	16.3	6.6	-	-	S	S
4th year	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	-	-	-
5th year	15.9	4.0	-	-	22.5	7.2	-	-	11.4	4.9
6th year or higher	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	-	S	S

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Since enrolled in the college. Per 100 students.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Includes contact involving: 1) penetration by physical force or threat of physical force, 2) attempted, but not completed, penetration by physical force or threat of physical force; 3) penetration by incapacitation, 4) sexual touching by physical force or threat of physical force, 5) sexual touching by incapacitation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Unless otherwise specified, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 3.3. Percent of Female Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Sexual Contact Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Type of Behavior, Victim Characteristics and Enrollment Status<sup>1, 2, 3</sup>

				Rap	е			Sexual B	attery	
Survey Item	T	Total		Undergraduate		Graduate or Professional		Undergraduate		iate or ssional
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Sexual orientation										
Heterosexual	21.6	1.0	12.1	0.7	3.3	0.8	19.9	1.0	8.5	1.6
Non-Heterosexual	38.0	3.7	24.8	3.9	S	S	36.3	3.9	S	S
Ethnicity										
Hispanic	26.4	3.1	13.8	2.5	-	-	28.1	3.7	S	S
Not Hispanic	22.7	0.9	13.3	0.8	3.6	0.9	21.1	1.1	8.5	1.6
Race										
American Indian or Alaska Native	41.8	5.4	31.3	5.4	-	-	36.3	5.3	-	-
Asian	17.7	1.6	10.5	1.4	3.8	1.5	15.7	1.8	7.2	2.3
Black or African American	31.4	3.2	11.6	2.7	S	S	27.5	3.5	29.1	9.4
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	S	S	-	-	-	-	S	S	-	-
White	24.7	1.1	14.1	0.9	2.6	0.9	23.1	1.2	8.5	1.7
Disability										
Yes	30.6	5.3	15.1	4.2	S	S	27.9	5.8	S	S
No	22.7	0.9	13.2	0.8	3.1	0.8	21.4	1.0	8.3	1.5
Marital status										
Never married	10.0	2.0	-	-	3.4	1.0	-	-	8.0	1.7
Not married but living with a partner	10.8	3.1	-	-	4.5	1.9	-	-	7.8	2.9
Married	7.6	3.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	7.6	3.2
Divorced or separated	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-	S	S
Other	s	S	-	-	S	s	_	-	S	S

Table 3.3. Percent of Female Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Sexual Contact Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Type of Behavior, Victim Characteristics and Enrollment Status<sup>1, 2, 3</sup> (continued)

				Rap	e			Sexual Ba	attery	
Survey Item	т	otal	Underg	raduate		iate or ssional	Underg	raduate		iate or ssional
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Year in School and Tir	ning of	Incidents								
Undergraduate										
Current year										
Freshman	13.7	1.8	5.3	1.0	-	-	9.8	1.7	-	-
Sophomore	14.7	1.7	6.4	1.1	-	-	10.3	1.5	-	-
Junior	8.3	1.2	2.9	0.7	-	-	5.8	1.0	-	-
Senior	10.7	1.7	4.9	1.2	-	-	7.0	1.2	-	-
Since entering co	llege									
Freshman	13.7	1.8	5.3	1.0	_	-	10.3	1.7	_	-
Sophomore	28.5	2.0	14.8	1.6	-	-	23.7	2.1	-	-
Junior	35.8	2.6	14.2	1.6	-	-	26.8	2.5	-	-
Senior	33.8	2.2	18.3	1.8	-	-	25.6	1.8	-	-
Graduate/Professi	onal									
Current year										
1st year	6.0	1.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.0	1.8
2nd year	2.8	1.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	2.8	1.2
3rd year	8.1	4.5	-	-	S	S	-	-	S	S
4th year	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-	S	S
5th year	s	S	-	-	-	-	-	-	S	S
6th year	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 3.3. Percent of Female Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Sexual Contact Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Type of Behavior, Victim Characteristics and Enrollment Status<sup>1, 2, 3</sup> (continued)

				Penetra	ition		Sexual Touching			
Survey Item	Total		Undergraduate			Graduate or Professional		Undergraduate		iate or ssional
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Since entering	g college									
1st year	7.7	2.0	-	-	S	s	-	-	7.0	2.0
2nd year	11.7	2.9	-	-	4.4	1.7	-	-	8.1	2.6
3rd year	16.3	6.6	-	-	7.6	4.4	-	-	14.1	6.5
4th year	S	S	-	-	S	s	-	-	S	S
5th year	22.5	7.2	-	-	S	S	-	-	22.5	7.2
6th year	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-	S	S

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Since enrolled in the college. Per 100 students.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Includes contact involving: 1) penetration by physical force or threat of physical force, 2) attempted, but not completed, penetration by physical force or threat of physical force; 3) penetration by incapacitation, 4) Sexual touching by physical force or threat of physical force; 5) Sexual touching by incapacitation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Unless otherwise specified, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 3.4a. Number of Times Females Experienced Nonconsensual Penetration Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Enrollment Status for the Current year and Since Entering College<sup>1, 2</sup>

Survey Item	Total			raduate ,060)	Graduate or Professional (n=351)		
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Current school year  Number of times							
0 times	96.3	0.4	95.0	0.5	99.7	0.2	
1 time	2.6	0.3	3.6	0.5	-	-	
2 times	0.5	0.1	0.6	0.2	S	S	
3 times	0.2	0.1	0.3	0.1	-	-	
4 or more times	0.3	0.1	0.4	0.2	-	-	
Since entering college  Number of times							
0 times	89.5	0.6	86.7	0.7	96.7	0.8	
1 time	5.8	0.4	7.5	0.6	1.7	0.6	
2 times	2.3	0.3	2.9	0.4	S	S	
3 times	0.9	0.2	1.2	0.3	-	-	
4 or more times	1.5	0.2	1.7	0.3	0.9	0.5	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Per 100 students.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Includes contact that was: a) completed by physical force or threat of physical force; b) attempted but not completed by physical force or threat of physical force or c) by incapacitation.

Table 3.4b. Number of Times Students Reported Nonconsensual Sexual Touching by Physical Force or Incapacitation by Current School Year or Since Entering Current College by Gender and Enrollment Status<sup>1,2</sup>

				Female (n=1,411)				Male (n=1,356)			
Survey Item	To	Total		Undergraduate (n=1,060)		Graduate or Professional (n=351)		Undergraduate (n=912)		uate or ssional 444)	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Current school year											
Number of times											
0 times	95.9	0.3	91.6	0.8	95.8	0.9	98.6	0.3	99.2	0.3	
1 time	2.2	0.2	4.8	0.5	1.6	0.5	0.8	0.2	S	S	
2 times	1.1	0.2	2.5	0.4	0.9	0.4	S	S	S	S	
3 times	0.6	0.1	0.8	0.2	0.9	0.4	0.4	0.2	S	S	
4 or more times	0.2	0.1	S	S	S	S	S	S	-	-	
Since entering college											
Number of times											
0 times	89.9	0.4	78.4	1.0	91.5	1.6	96.6	0.5	98.0	0.5	
1 time	4.1	0.3	9.1	0.7	3.3	0.8	1.1	0.3	0.6	0.3	
2 times	3.1	0.2	6.1	0.5	2.8	0.7	1.2	0.3	0.8	0.4	
3 times	1.8	0.2	4.0	0.4	1.0	0.5	0.6	0.2	S	S	
4 or more times	1.2	0.2	2.4	0.4	1.3	0.6	0.4	0.2	S	S	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Per 100 students.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Includes contact that was: a) completed by physical force or threat of physical force or b) by incapacitation.

Table 3.5a. Percent of Female Victims of Nonconsensual Penetration Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Time Occurred During Year, Location of Incident and by Tactic<sup>1, 2, 3</sup>

Survey Item	Ву Г	orce	By Incar	acitation
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Time - occurred during academic break				
No incidents occurred during academic break	84.7	3.9	79.9	3.7
Some incidents occurred during academic break	9.6	3.2	9.5	2.7
All incidents occurred during academic break	5.7	2.6	10.5	2.8
Location		•	'	
Did it occur on campus or affiliated property?				
Yes	80.5	3.6	82.8	3.6
No	19.5	3.6	17.2	3.6
On university property				
University residence hall/dorm	61.6	4.9	67.9	5.8
Fraternity or Sorority house	57.1	5.9	41.8	4.9
Other space used by single-sex org	-	-	-	-
Other residential housing	6.9	2.5	9.0	3.3
Non-residential building	S	S	S	S
Other property (e.g., outdoors)	5.6	2.1	S	S
Not on university property				
Private residence	79.6	10.8	76.1	10.9
Fraternity or Sorority house	32.2	11.6	S	S
Other space used by single-sex org	-	-	-	-
Restaurant, bar, or club	-	-	-	-
Other social venue	S	S	S	S
Outdoor or recreational space	S	S	-	-
Some other place	S	S	S	S

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Per 100 victims.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Nonconsensual penetration by force includes contact that was: a) completed by physical force or threat of physical force; or b) attempted but not completed by physical force or threat of physical force.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 3.5b. Percent of Female Victims of Nonconsensual Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Time Occurred During Year, Location of Incident and by Tactic<sup>1, 2, 3</sup>

Survey Item	Ву Б	orce	By Incap	acitation
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Time - occurred during academic break				
No incidents occurred during academic break	93.4	1.7	90.7	3.1
Some incidents occurred during academic break	2.5	0.9	S	S
All incidents occurred during academic break	4.0	1.4	6.9	3.0
Location			'	
Did it occur on campus or affiliated property?				
Yes	89.6	1.8	86.3	3.3
No	10.4	1.8	13.7	3.3
On university property			'	
University residence hall/dorm	38.9	3.2	57.8	5.1
Fraternity or Sorority house	57.3	2.2	42.3	4.9
Other space used by single-sex org	S	S	-	-
Other residential housing	10.0	2.2	5.5	1.9
Non-residential building	7.9	2.3	4.4	2.5
Other property (e.g., outdoors)	10.7	2.0	8.8	2.5
Not on university property				
Private residence	42.4	11.1	64.0	11.1
Fraternity or Sorority house	17.7	7.3	S	S
Other space used by single-sex org	-	-	-	-
Restaurant, bar, or club	24.4	8.4	-	-
Other social venue	S	S	-	-
Outdoor or recreational space	S	S	-	-
Some other place	27.7	8.9	S	S

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Per 100 victims.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Sexual touching by force includes contact that was completed by physical force or threat of physical force.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 3.5c. Percent of Male Victims of Nonconsensual Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Time Occurred During Year, Location of Incident and by Tactic<sup>1, 2, 3</sup>

Survey Item	Ву	Force	By Incap	acitation
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Time - occurred during academic break				
No incidents occurred during academic break	87.6	5.4	93.0	5.6
Some incidents occurred during academic break	12.4	5.4	S	S
All incidents occurred during academic break	-	-	-	-
Location			'	
Did it occur on campus or affiliated property?				
Yes	87.7	4.5	79.1	11.0
No	12.3	4.5	S	S
On university property			'	
University residence hall/dorm	56.4	7.9	64.4	13.9
Fraternity or Sorority house	61.3	7.7	52.5	13.6
Other space used by single-sex org	-	-	-	-
Other residential housing	10.1	4.4	S	S
Non-residential building	S	S	S	S
Other property (e.g., outdoors)	24.3	7.0	S	S
Not on university property	·		<u>'</u>	
Private residence	S	S	-	-
Fraternity or Sorority house	-	-	S	S
Other space used by single-sex org	-	-	-	-
Restaurant, bar, or club	-	-	S	S
Other social venue	S	S	-	-
Outdoor or recreational space	-	-	-	-
Some other place	S	S	-	-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Per 100 victims.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Sexual touching by force includes contact that was completed by physical force or threat of physical force.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 3.6a. Percent of Female Victims of Nonconsensual Penetration Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Offender Characteristics and Tactic<sup>1, 2, 3</sup>

Survey Item	Ву	Force	By Incap	acitation
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Offender characteristics				
Gender				
Female	S	S	S	S
Male	100	0.0	98.2	1.4
Other gender identity	-	-	-	-
Number of offenders			<u>'</u>	
1 offender	66.5	4.1	65.7	5.1
2 offenders	17.1	3.6	21.7	4.4
3 or more offenders	16.5	3.3	12.5	3.6
University affiliation				
Student	86.8	3.5	94.2	2.0
Faculty or instructor	-	-	-	-
Coach or trainer	-	-	-	-
Other staff or administrator	-	-	-	-
Other person affiliated with a university program (ex. internship, study abroad)	S	S	-	-
The person was not affiliated with university	3.8	1.7	S	S
Don't know association with university	9.6	3.0	16.2	3.5
Relationship to victim				
At the time, it was someone I was dating or intimate with	23.7	3.6	20.9	4.1
Someone I had dated or was intimate with	15.1	4.4	15.0	3.9
Teacher or advisor	S	S	-	-
Co-worker, boss or supervisor	S	S	S	S
Friend or acquaintance	59.3	5.1	68.8	4.6
Stranger	41.6	4.2	30.6	4.7
Other	S	S	-	-
Don't Know	S	S	-	-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Per 100 victims.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Nonconsensual penetration by force includes contact that was: a) completed by physical force or threat of physical force; or b) attempted but not completed by physical force or threat of physical force.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 3.6b. Percent of Female Victims of Nonconsensual Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Offender Characteristics and Tactic<sup>1, 2, 3</sup>

Survey Item	Ву	Force	By Incapacitation		
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Offender characteristics					
Gender					
Female	2.6	1.0	S	S	
Male	99.2	0.6	98.4	1.2	
Other gender identity	S	S	-	-	
Number of offenders			'		
1 offender	68.4	2.8	70.2	3.3	
2 offenders	19.1	2.5	15.5	2.9	
3 or more offenders	12.5	2.0	14.2	3.1	
University affiliation					
Student	91.0	1.6	89.8	3.0	
Faculty or instructor	S	S	S	S	
Coach or trainer	-	-	-	-	
Other staff or administrator	-	-	-	-	
Other person affiliated with a university program (ex. internship, study abroad)	S	S	-	-	
The person was not affiliated with university	3.0	1.2	-	-	
Don't know association with university	7.4	1.7	13.2	3.4	
Relationship to victim					
At the time, it was someone I was dating or intimate with	10.9	1.9	13.4	2.7	
Someone I had dated or was intimate with	8.0	1.7	9.0	2.4	
Teacher or advisor	S	S	-	-	
Co-worker, boss or supervisor	2.8	1.1	S	S	
Friend or acquaintance	51.4	3.2	56.7	4.8	
Stranger	47.7	2.7	42.8	3.7	
Other	S	S	S	S	
Don't Know	S	S	-	-	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Per 100 victims.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Sexual touching by force includes contact that was completed by physical force or threat of physical force.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 3.6c. Percent of Male Victims of Nonconsensual Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Offender Characteristics and Tactic<sup>1, 2, 3</sup>

Survey Item	Ву	Force	By Incapacitation		
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Offender characteristics					
Gender					
Female	82.0	6.3	92.3	6.1	
Male	36.0	8.5	S	S	
Other gender identity	-	-	-	-	
Number of offenders					
1 offender	63.3	7.6	70.9	10.6	
2 offenders	34.0	7.6	S	S	
3 or more offenders	S	S	S	S	
University affiliation			1		
Student	93.6	3.4	100	0.0	
Faculty or instructor	-	-	-	-	
Coach or trainer	-	-	-	-	
Other staff or administrator	-	-	-	-	
Other person affiliated with a university program (ex. internship, study abroad)	-	-	-	-	
The person was not affiliated with university	S	S	-	-	
Don't know association with university	S	S	-	-	
Relationship to victim			'		
At the time, it was someone I was dating or intimate with	S	S	-	-	
Someone I had dated or was intimate with	S	S	S	S	
Teacher or advisor	-	-	-	-	
Co-worker, boss or supervisor	-	-	-	-	
Friend or acquaintance	66.3	6.8	73.6	11.3	
Stranger	27.0	6.3	34.4	11.9	
Other	-	-	-	-	
Don't Know	-	-	-	-	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Per 100 victims.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Sexual touching by force includes contact that was completed by physical force or threat of physical force.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 3.7a. Percent of Female Victims of Nonconsensual Penetration Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Involvement of Substances and Tactic<sup>1, 2, 3</sup>

	Ву	Force	By Incapacitation		
Survey Item Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Involvement of Substances					
Offender drinking alcohol					
Yes	72.3	3.6	84.2	3.6	
No	10.3	2.6	6.2	2.1	
Don't know	17.4	3.8	9.6	2.6	
Offender using drugs					
Yes	9.8	2.6	9.7	2.9	
No	43.9	4.5	40.1	4.6	
Don't know	46.3	4.3	50.2	4.6	
Victim voluntarily drinking alcohol	'	<u>'</u>	'		
Yes	70.4	4.5	96.2	1.7	
No	29.6	4.5	3.8	1.7	
Victim voluntarily using drugs	'	<u>'</u>	'		
Yes	7.4	2.5	7.8	2.6	
No	92.6	2.5	92.2	2.6	
Victim given alcohol or drugs without kn	nowledge or consent	<u> </u>	1	'	
Yes, I am certain	S	S	S	S	
I suspect, but I am not certain	13.2	3.4	13.0	2.8	
No	75.6	3.8	76.4	3.6	
Don't know	8.0	2.4	9.5	2.7	
Victim passed out for all or part of incide	ent	1	1		
Yes	25.9	4.4	34.7	4.8	
No	65.9	5.5	43.5	5.0	
Not sure	8.2	2.4	21.8	4.3	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Per 100 victims.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Nonconsensual penetration by force includes contact that was: a) completed by physical force or threat of physical force; or b) attempted but not completed by physical force or threat of physical force.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 3.7b. Percent of Female Victims of Nonconsensual Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Involvement of Substances and Tactic<sup>1, 2, 3</sup>

	Ву	Force	By Incapacitation		
Survey Item Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Involvement of Substances					
Offender drinking alcohol					
Yes	57.9	3.1	73.1	4.0	
No	15.0	1.9	5.9	2.0	
Don't know	27.1	2.5	21.0	3.9	
Offender using drugs					
Yes	S	S	8.8	2.5	
No	38.5	2.6	29.0	3.8	
Don't know	59.7	2.6	62.2	4.7	
Victim voluntarily drinking alcohol	1			'	
Yes	58.5	3.6	89.2	2.6	
No	41.5	3.6	10.8	2.6	
Victim voluntarily using drugs	1			'	
Yes	2.6	1.1	8.1	2.4	
No	97.4	1.1	91.9	2.4	
Victim given alcohol or drugs without kn	owledge or consent			ı	
Yes, I am certain	S	S	S	S	
I suspect, but I am not certain	2.8	1.1	5.3	1.7	
No	91.5	1.9	86.8	2.6	
Don't know	5.2	1.4	5.1	2.0	
Victim passed out for all or part of incide	ent	1	1	1	
Yes	7.9	2.3	14.3	3.6	
No	89.7	2.5	71.4	3.7	
Not sure	2.4	1.0	14.3	2.6	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Per 100 victims.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Sexual touching by force includes contact that was completed by physical force or threat of physical force.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 3.7c. Percent of Male Victims of Nonconsensual Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Involvement of Substances and Tactic<sup>1, 2, 3</sup>

	Ву	Force	By Incapacitation		
Survey Item Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Involvement of Substances					
Offender drinking alcohol		1		!	
Yes	62.4	7.7	77.2	9.7	
No	12.1	5.4	-	-	
Don't know	25.5	7.3	22.8	9.7	
Offender using drugs					
Yes	S	S	S	s	
No	27.6	7.1	56.0	12.0	
Don't know	66.0	7.2	36.9	11.4	
Victim voluntarily drinking alcohol					
Yes	57.4	7.9	100.0	0.0	
No	42.6	7.9	-	-	
Victim voluntarily using drugs					
Yes	-	-	S	S	
No	100.0	0.0	92.9	5.7	
Victim given alcohol or drugs without kn	owledge or consent				
Yes, I am certain	-	-	-	-	
I suspect, but I am not certain	-	-	-	-	
No	94.5	4.2	100.0	0.0	
Don't know	S	S	-	-	
Victim passed out for all or part of incide	nt				
Yes	S	S	-	-	
No	90.3	5.5	76.5	10.0	
Not sure	-	-	23.5	10.0	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Per 100 victims.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Sexual touching by force includes contact that was completed by physical force or threat of physical force.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 3.8a. Percent of Female Victims of Nonconsensual Penetration Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Physical and Emotional Consequences and Tactic<sup>1, 2, 3</sup>

	Ву Г	orce	By Incapacitation	
Survey Item Response		StdErr	%	StdErr
Consequences				
Physical		!	I	!
Physical injuries	10.5	3.1	9.4	2.4
Bruises, black-eye, cuts, scratches, or swelling	100.0	0.0	74.6	14.0
Chipped or knocked out teeth	-	-	-	-
Broken bones	-	-	-	-
Internal injury from the sexual contact (e.g., vaginal or anal tearing)	S	S	S	s
Other injuries	S	S	-	-
Contract a sexually transmitted disease	-	-	S	S
Become pregnant from the experience	-	-	-	-
None of the above	89.5	3.1	89.7	2.4
	·			
Emotional				
Difficulty concentrating on studies, assignments or exams	61.4	3.9	51.0	5.0
Fearfulness or being concerned about safety	51.7	4.3	19.5	3.5
Loss of interest in daily activities, or feelings of helplessness and hopelessness	42.2	5.1	32.0	4.7
Nightmares or trouble sleeping	41.3	4.4	24.6	4.1
Feeling numb or detached	49.7	3.8	44.1	5.2
Headaches or stomach aches	18.8	3.1	14.2	3.2
Eating problems or disorders	27.1	4.5	21.6	3.9
Increased drug or alcohol use	25.9	3.9	20.1	3.4
None of the above	16.6	3.0	34.8	4.4

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Per 100 victims.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Penetration by force includes contact that was: a) completed by physical force or threat of physical force; or b) attempted but not completed by physical force or threat of physical force.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 3.8b. Percent of Female Victims of Nonconsensual Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Physical and Emotional Consequences and Tactic<sup>1, 2, 3</sup>

	Ву	orce	By Incapacitation	
Survey Item Response		StdErr	%	StdErr
Consequences	'			
Physical				
Physical injuries	S	S	4.2	2.4
Bruises, black-eye, cuts, scratches, or swelling	S	S	S	S
Chipped or knocked out teeth	-	-	-	-
Broken bones	-	-	-	-
Internal injury from the sexual contact (e.g., vaginal or anal tearing)	S	S	S	S
Other injuries	S	s	S	S
Contract a sexually transmitted disease	-	-	-	-
Become pregnant from the experience	-	-	-	-
None of the above	97.8	1.0	95.8	2.4
Emotional				
Difficulty concentrating on studies, assignments or exams	21.9	2.6	28.3	4.3
Fearfulness or being concerned about safety	23.3	2.8	12.4	3.2
Loss of interest in daily activities, or feelings of helplessness and hopelessness	10.5	2.0	17.4	3.9
Nightmares or trouble sleeping	9.6	1.9	14.2	3.6
Feeling numb or detached	15.7	2.0	19.0	3.8
Headaches or stomach aches	4.1	1.4	6.6	2.7
Eating problems or disorders	5.1	1.2	5.7	1.8
Increased drug or alcohol use	7.1	1.7	13.5	3.4
None of the above	57.4	2.6	63.7	4.5

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Per 100 victims.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Sexual touching by force includes contact that was completed by physical force or threat of physical force.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 3.8c. Percent of Male Victims of Nonconsensual Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Physical and Emotional Consequences and Tactic<sup>1, 2, 3</sup>

	Ву Б	orce	By Incapacitation	
Survey Item Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Consequences				
Physical				
Physical injuries	-	-	-	-
Bruises, black-eye, cuts, scratches, or swelling	-	-	-	-
Chipped or knocked out teeth	-	-	-	-
Broken bones	-	-	-	-
Internal injury from the sexual contact (e.g., vaginal or anal tearing)	-	-	-	-
Other injuries	-	_	-	-
Contract a sexually transmitted disease	-	_	-	-
Become pregnant from the experience	-	-	-	-
None of the above	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
Emotional				
Difficulty concentrating on studies, assignments or exams	17.3	6.3	S	S
Fearfulness or being concerned about safety	10.9	4.7	S	S
Loss of interest in daily activities, or feelings of helplessness and hopelessness	14.3	6.1	23.5	10.0
Nightmares or trouble sleeping	16.2	6.1	s	S
Feeling numb or detached	16.5	6.1	23.5	10.0
Headaches or stomach aches	-	_	-	-
Eating problems or disorders	-	_	-	-
Increased drug or alcohol use	10.8	5.1	s	S
None of the above	57.3	8.4	69.4	11.0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Per 100 victims.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Sexual touching by force includes contact that was completed by physical force or threat of physical force.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 3.9a. Percent of Female Victims of Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation reporting to a Program, Reporting to Others and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Behavior and Tactic<sup>1, 2, 3</sup>

		Pen	etration			Sexual	Touchin	g
	By By Force Incapacitation		By Force		By Incapacitation			
Survey Item Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Report of incident to a Program								
Reported to a Program								
Yes	36.5	4.5	16.3	3.3	12.0	2.1	10.7	2.9
No	63.5	4.5	83.7	3.3	88.0	2.1	89.3	2.9
Did not contact a Program  Did not know where to go or who to tell	12.5	4.4	14.0	3.7	2.9	1.1	8.0	2.8
Felt embarrassed, ashamed, or that it would be too emotionally difficult	31.9	5.9	38.5	4.3	11.0	2.0	18.2	3.6
I did not think anyone would believe me	14.6	4.6	13.8	3.6	3.1	1.0	9.4	2.7
I did not think it was serious enough to report	64.3	5.6	70.1	4.6	77.8	3.0	76.5	3.7
I did not want the person to get into trouble	26.5	5.2	28.7	4.5	10.0	1.7	19.9	3.6
I feared negative social consequences	33.7	5.3	23.1	4.1	16.2	2.5	12.6	3.2
I did not think anything would be done	35.1	4.9	34.8	4.5	27.4	3.1	12.2	3.0
I feared it would not be kept confidential	23.8	4.5	20.6	4.0	9.8	1.9	7.6	2.9
Incident was not on campus or associated with the school	7.3	3.3	9.0	3.0	2.3	1.0	S	S
Incident did not occur while attending school	7.5	3.3	6.8	2.5	4.4	1.6	S	S
Other Reason	9.3	3.2	11.8	3.8	11.5	2.2	16.0	3.3

Table 3.9a. Percent of Female Victims of Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation reporting to a Program, Reporting to Others and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Behavior and Tactic<sup>1, 2, 3</sup> (continued)

		Penetration				Sexual Touching						
	Ву	By Force		acitation	Ву	Force	By Incapacitation					
Survey Item Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr				
Report to others	Report to others											
Friend	89.5	2.5	77.1	3.7	83.1	2.4	73.0	3.5				
Family member	29.1	4.1	24.3	3.7	13.4	2.2	17.1	3.5				
Faculty	9.4	2.8	6.1	2.3	2.3	0.9	S	S				
Someone else	9.4	2.9	7.7	2.6	4.4	1.2	S	S				
I didn't tell anyone else	10.5	2.5	19.2	3.5	16.9	2.8	24.6	3.5				

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Per 100 victims.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Includes contact involving: 1) penetration by physical force or threat of physical force, 2) attempted, but not completed, penetration by physical force or threat of physical force; 3) penetration by incapacitation, 4) sexual touching by physical force or threat of physical force, 5) sexual touching by incapacitation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 3.9b. Percent of Male Victims of Nonconsensual Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation Reporting to a Program, Reporting to Others and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Tactic<sup>1, 2, 3</sup>

Survey Item	Ву	Force	By Incapacitation		
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Report of incident to a Program					
Reported to a program					
Inapplicable	-	-	-	-	
No	100	0.0	100	0.0	
Yes	-	-	-	-	
Did not contact a program					
Did not know where to go or who to tell	8.6	3.9	-	-	
Felt embarrassed, ashamed, or that it would be too emotionally difficult	19.3	6.1	22.0	9.5	
I did not think anyone would believe me	21.3	6.8	S	S	
I did not think anything would be done	9.6	4.5	-	-	
I did not think it was serious enough to report	73.0	6.6	85.9	7.7	
I did not want the person to get into trouble	8.0	3.6	S	S	
I feared it would not be kept confidential	16.6	6.4	-	-	
I feared negative social consequences	10.8	5.1	-	-	
Incident did not occur while attending school	-	-	-	-	
Incident was not on campus or associated with the school	-	-	-	-	
Other Reason	18.3	4.7	S	S	
Report to others					
Friend	61.0	9.2	76.6	9.9	
Family member	-	-	-	-	
Faculty	S	S	-	-	
Someone else	S	S	-	-	
I didn't tell anyone else	39.0	9.2	23.4	9.9	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Per 100 victims

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Sexual touching by force includes contact that was completed by physical force or threat of physical force.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 3.9c. Percent of Victims of Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation reporting to a Program, Reporting to Others and Reasons Why Did Not Report to an Organization<sup>1, 2</sup>

	Sexual Assault Awareness Program (SAAP) or Survivor Advocate		Dartmouth Dick's House - Health Services		Dartmouth Counseling	
Survey Item Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Report of incident to a Program	18.3	4.6	24.8	4.9	67.8	5.3
When contacted						
Fall of 2014 - present	59.1	12.6	49.4	9.7	52.1	6.9
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	33.1	14.7	20.1	9.3	20.3	4.9
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	S	S	S	S	14.8	5.1
Prior to Fall 2012	-	-	S	S	16.1	5.8
How useful	1					
Not at all	-	-	S	S	-	-
A little	S	S	-	-	18.0	6.4
Somewhat	S	S	-	-	34.5	8.2
Very	S	S	61.6	20.3	19.4	7.4
Extremely	S	S	S	S	28.1	8.2
Valuation of Contact made since fall of 2014  Pressure from university on whether to proceed						
Yes	S	S	S	S	S	S
To proceed	S	S	S	S	S	S
Not to proceed	-	-	-	-	-	-
No	58.6	21.7	87.2	10.5	91.9	4.6

Table 3.9c. Percent of Victims of Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation reporting to a Program, Reporting to Others and Reasons Why Did Not Report to an Organization<sup>1, 2</sup> (continued)

	Program (SA	Sexual Assault Awareness Program (SAAP) or Survivor Advocate		Dartmouth Dick's House - Health Services		Dartmouth Counseling	
Survey Item Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Report of incident to a Program	18.3	4.6	24.8	4.9	67.8	5.3	
Program showed respect towards victim	·						
Excellent	58.6	21.7	40.8	17.1	46.7	8.2	
Very good	S	S	S	S	47.0	8.1	
Good	S	S	S	S	S	S	
Fair	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Poor	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Helped to understand options	·						
Excellent	S	S	-	-	46.4	8.8	
Very good	S	S	77.6	18.2	18.9	6.4	
Good	S	S	-	-	34.2	8.6	
Fair	-	-	S	S	S	S	
Poor	-	-	-	-	S	S	

Table 3.9c. Percent of Victims of Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation reporting to a Program, Reporting to Others and Reasons Why Did Not Report to an Organization<sup>1, 2</sup> (continued)

	Title IX Co	oordinator	WISE of the	Upper Valley	Undergraduate Deans	
Survey Item Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Report of incident to a Program	11.7	3.3	10.9	3.2	28.1	5.3
When contacted						
Fall of 2014 - present	88.0	10.0	61.4	21.1	33.6	7.9
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	S	S	S	S	39.6	10.9
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	-	-	-	-	S	S
Prior to Fall 2012	-	-	S	S	S	S
How useful			<u>'</u>			
Not at all	-	-	S	S	-	-
A little	S	S	S	S	S	S
Somewhat	S	S	-	-	S	S
Very	S	S	S	S	S	S
Extremely	S	S	S	S	S	S
Evaluation of Contact made since fall of 2014						
Pressure from university on whether to proceed						
Yes	-	-	-	-	-	-
To proceed	-	-	-	-	-	-
Not to proceed	-	-	-	-	-	-
No	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0

Table 3.9c. Percent of Victims of Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation reporting to a Program, Reporting to Others and Reasons Why Did Not Report to an Organization<sup>1, 2</sup> (continued)

	Title IX Co	oordinator	WISE of the	Upper Valley	Undergrad	uate Deans
Survey Item Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Report of incident to a Program	11.7	3.3	10.9	3.2	28.1	5.3
Program showed respect towards victim						
Excellent	53.0	20.2	100.0	0.0	71.0	16.8
Very good	47.0	20.2	-	-	S	S
Good	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fair	-	-	-	-    -	-	-
Poor	-	-	-	-	-	-
Helped to understand options						
Excellent	53.0	20.2	S	S	S	S
Very good	47.0	20.2	S	S	56.6	19.7
Good	-	-	-	-	S	S
Fair	-	-	S	S	-	-
Poor	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 3.9c. Percent of Victims of Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation reporting to a Program, Reporting to Others and Reasons Why Did Not Report to an Organization<sup>1, 2</sup> (continued)

		d Professional f Student Affairs	Life (Chapla	ous and Spiritual nins), Tucker dation	Dartmouth Department of Safety and Security		
Survey Item Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Report of incident to a Program	-	-	-	-	14.1	4.1	
When contacted							
Fall of 2014 - present	-	-	-	-	44.1	13.7	
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	-	-	-	-	45.7	14.7	
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	-	-	-	-	S	S	
Prior to Fall 2012	-	-	-	-	-	-	
How useful	<u>'</u>						
Not at all	-	-	-	-	S	S	
A little	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Somewhat	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Very	-	-	-	-	63.8	30.4	
Extremely	-	-	-	-	-	-	
valuation of Contact made since fall of 2014		,					
Pressure from university on whether to proceed							
Yes	-	-	-	-	-	-	
To proceed	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Not to proceed	-	-	-	-	-	-	
No	-	-	-	-	100.0	0.0	

Table 3.9c. Percent of Victims of Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation reporting to a Program, Reporting to Others and Reasons Why Did Not Report to an Organization<sup>1, 2</sup> (continued)

		d Professional f Student Affairs	Life (Chapla	ous and Spiritual ins), Tucker dation	Dartmouth Department or Safety and Security		
Survey Item Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Report of incident to a Program	-	-	-	-	14.1	4.1	
Program showed respect towards victim							
Excellent	-	-	-	-	S	S	
Very good	-	-	-	-	S	S	
Good	-	-	-	-	S	S	
Fair	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Poor	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Helped to understand options	·						
Excellent	-	-	-	-	S	S	
Very good	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Good	-	-	-	-	S	S	
Fair	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Poor	-	-	-	-	S	S	

Table 3.9c. Percent of Victims of Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation reporting to a Program, Reporting to Others and Reasons Why Did Not Report to an Organization<sup>1, 2</sup> (continued)

	Local Police	e Department
Survey Item Response	%	StdErr
Report of incident to a Program	8.9	3.0
When contacted		
Fall of 2014 - present	s	S
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	S	S
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	s	S
Prior to Fall 2012	-	-
How useful		
Not at all	-	-
A little	-	-
Somewhat	-	-
Very	S	S
Extremely	-	-
Evaluation of Contact made since fall of 2014		
Pressure from university on whether to proceed		
Yes	-	-
To proceed	-	-
Not to proceed	-	-
No	S	S

Table 3.9c. Percent of Victims of Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation reporting to a Program, Reporting to Others and Reasons Why Did Not Report to an Organization<sup>1, 2</sup> (continued)

	Local Police	Department
Survey Item Response	%	StdErr
Report of incident to a Program	8.9	3.0
Program showed respect towards victim		
Excellent	-	-
Very good	S	S
Good	-	-
Fair	-	-
Poor	-	-
Helped to understand options		
Excellent	-	-
Very good	-	-
Good	-	-
Fair	S	S
Poor	-	-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Per 100 victims.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Includes contact involving: 1) penetration by physical force or threat of physical force, 2) attempted, but not completed, penetration by physical force or threat of physical force; 3) penetration by incapacitation, 4) sexual touching by physical force or threat of physical force, 5) sexual touching by incapacitation.

Table 4.1 Percent of Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent by Behavior, Tactic, Current Year vs. Since Entering College, Gender and Enrollment Status<sup>1,2</sup>

				Female (n	=1,411)		Male (n=1,356)				
Survey Item		Total	Undergraduate (n=1,060)		Profe	uate or ssional 351)		graduate 912)	Profe	uate or ssional 444)	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Current school year					,				'		
Coercion	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.1	S	S	-	-	-	-	
Penetration	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Sexual touching	0.1	0.1	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	
Absence of affirmative consent	3.1	0.2	6.2	0.5	2.7	0.7	1.6	0.3	0.6	0.3	
Penetration	1.4	0.2	3.0	0.4	S	S	0.8	0.2	S	S	
Sexual touching	2.1	0.2	4.1	0.4	2.0	0.6	1.0	0.2	S	s	
Since entering college	-							•			
Coercion	0.2	0.1	0.4	0.1	S	S	-	-	-	-	
Penetration	0.1	0.0	0.3	0.1	S	S	-	-	-	-	
Sexual touching	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.1	S	S	-	-	-	-	
Absence of affirmative consent	7.8	0.4	16.5	0.8	4.9	0.9	3.8	0.5	1.0	0.4	
Penetration	3.1	0.3	7.1	0.6	1.4	0.5	1.4	0.3	0.2	0.1	
Sexual touching	5.6	0.3	11.3	0.6	3.9	0.9	2.8	0.4	S	S	

Table 4.1 Percent of Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent by Behavior, Tactic, Current Year vs. Since Entering College, Gender and Enrollment Status<sup>1,2</sup> (continued)

		TGQN (n=	29)			
Survey Item		Undergraduate (n=22)				
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr		
Current school year						
Coercion	-	-	-	-		
Penetration	-	-	-	-		
Sexual touching	-	-	-	-		
Absence of affirmative consent	S	S	-	-		
Penetration	-	-	-	-		
Sexual touching	S	S	-	-		
Since entering college						
Coercion	-	-	-	-		
Penetration	-	-	-	-		
Sexual touching	-	-	-	-		
Absence of affirmative consent	16.8	6.3	-	-		
Penetration	S	S	-	-		
Sexual touching	13.4	6.0	-	-		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Per 100 students.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>TGQN = Transgender woman, Transgender man, Genderqueer, gender non-conforming, questioning, not listed.

Table 4.2. Number of Times Students Experienced Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent by Behavior, Tactic, Victim Characteristics, Gender and Enrollment Status<sup>1, 2</sup>

				Female (n	=1,411)			Male (n=	1,356)	
Survey Item	т	Total		Undergraduate (n=1,060)		Graduate or Professional (n=351)		raduate 912)	Graduate or Professional (n=444)	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Current school year										
Coercion										
Penetration										
0 times	99.9	0.0	99.8	0.1	100	0.0	100	0.0	100	0.0
1 time	0.0	0.0	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-
2 times	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3 times	0.0	0.0	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-
4 or more times	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sexual Touching										
0 times	99.9	0.1	99.8	0.1	99.6	0.3	100	0.0	100	0.0
1 time	0.1	0.0	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-
2 times	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3 times	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-
4 or more times	0.0	0.0	-	-	S	S	-	-	-	-
Without affirmative con	sent									
Penetration										
0 times	98.6	0.2	97.0	0.4	99.3	0.4	99.2	0.2	99.8	0.1
1 time	1.0	0.1	2.2	0.3	S	s	0.6	0.2	S	S
2 times	0.3	0.1	0.6	0.2	-	-	S	S	-	-
3 times	0.1	0.0	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-
4 or more times	0.1	0.0	S	s	S	S	-	-	-	-

Table 4.2. Number of Times Students Experienced Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent by Behavior, Tactic, Victim Characteristics, Gender and Enrollment Status<sup>1, 2</sup> (continued)

				Female (n	=1,411)			Male (n=	1,356)	
Survey Item	т	otal	Undergraduate (n=1,060)		Graduate or Professional (n=351)		Undergraduate (n=912)		Graduate or Professional (n=444)	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Without affirmative cons	sent									
Sexual Touching										
0 times	97.9	0.2	95.9	0.4	98.0	0.6	99.0	0.2	99.6	0.2
1 time	1.4	0.2	2.5	0.4	2.0	0.6	0.6	0.2	-	-
2 times	0.4	0.1	0.8	0.3	-	-	0.3	0.1	S	S
3 times	0.2	0.1	0.5	0.2	-	-	-	-	-	_
4 or more times	0.1	0.0	S	s	-	-	S	s	-	-
Since entering college				'						
Coercion										
Penetration										
0 times	99.9	0.0	99.7	0.1	99.8	0.2	100	0.0	100	0.0
1 time	0.0	0.0	-	-	S	S	-	-	-	-
2 times	0.0	0.0	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-
3 times	0.0	0.0	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-
4 or more times	0.0	0.0	S	s	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sexual Touching										
0 times	99.9	0.1	99.7	0.1	99.6	0.3	100	0.0	100	0.0
1 time	0.1	0.0	0.3	0.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
2 times	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3 times	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4 or more times	0.0	0.0	-	-	S	S	-	-	-	-

Table 4.2. Number of Times Students Experienced Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent by Behavior, Tactic, Victim Characteristics, Gender and Enrollment Status<sup>1, 2</sup> (continued)

				Female (n	=1,411)			Male (n=	1,356)	
Survey Item	т	otal	Undergraduate (n=1,060)		Graduate or Professional (n=351)		Undergraduate (n=912)		Profe	uate or ssional 444)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Without affirmative con	sent									
Penetration										
0 times	96.9	0.3	92.9	0.6	98.6	0.5	98.6	0.3	99.8	0.1
1 time	1.7	0.2	3.9	0.4	s	S	0.8	0.2	-	-
2 times	0.7	0.1	1.5	0.2	s	S	S	S	-	-
3 times	0.4	0.1	1.0	0.2	-	-	S	S	-	-
4 or more times	0.4	0.1	0.7	0.2	S	S	S	S	S	S
Sexual Touching										
0 times	94.4	0.3	88.7	0.6	96.1	0.9	97.2	0.4	99.0	0.4
1 time	3.1	0.2	5.8	0.5	3.2	0.8	1.5	0.3	0.6	0.2
2 times	1.4	0.2	2.8	0.4	S	s	0.9	0.3	S	S
3 times	0.5	0.1	1.4	0.3	S	S	S	S	-	-
4 or more times	0.5	0.1	1.2	0.3	S	S	0.3	0.1	-	-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Per 100 students.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 4.3. Percent of Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Absence of Affirmative Consent by Victim Characteristics, Gender and Enrollment Status<sup>1,2</sup>

				Female (n	=1,411)			Male (n=	1,356)	
Survey Item	т	Total		Undergraduate (n=1,060)		uate or ssional :351)		graduate =912)	Profe	uate or ssional 444)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Sexual orientation	·									
Heterosexual	6.5	0.4	14.4	0.9	4.7	0.9	2.6	0.4	0.6	0.3
Non-Heterosexual	20.1	2.1	37.7	4.0	S	s	14.4	2.7	S	S
Ethnicity										
Hispanic	6.2	1.3	15.1	3.0	S	S	S	S	-	-
Not Hispanic	8.0	0.4	16.6	0.9	5.0	0.9	4.1	0.5	1.0	0.4
Race										
American Indian or Alaska Native	15.1	3.2	27.4	5.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
Asian	5.6	0.6	12.5	1.4	2.7	1.2	3.2	1.1	-	-
Black or African American	10.7	1.8	18.3	3.3	S	S	5.0	2.3	-	-
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	4.6	3.6	14.8	12.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
White	8.3	0.5	17.8	1.1	5.8	1.3	4.0	0.5	1.4	0.6
Disability										
Yes	12.8	2.4	28.4	5.1	_	-	-	-	_	-
No	7.7	0.4	16.0	0.8	5.0	0.9	4.0	0.5	1.0	0.4

Table 4.3. Percent of Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Absence of Affirmative Consent by Victim Characteristics, Gender and Enrollment Status<sup>1,2</sup> (continued)

				Female (n	=1,411)			Male (n=:	1,356)	
Survey Item	,	Total		Undergraduate (n=1,060)		uate or ssional 351)	Undergraduate (n=912)		Profe	uate or ssional 444)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Never married	3.2	0.8	-	-	5.6	1.3	-	-	1.3	0.6
Not married but living with a partner	3.8	1.3	-	-	6.1	2.2	-	-	S	S
Married	0.4	0.3	-	-	S	S	-	-	-	-
Divorced or separated	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Year in School and Timing of Incidents  Undergraduate  Current year										
Freshman	4.5	0.8	8.6	1.5	_	_	S	S	_	_
Sophomore	4.7	0.7	7.0	1.1	-	-	2.3	0.8	-	-
Junior	3.3	0.5	4.6	0.9	-	-	2.1	0.7	-	-
Senior	3.2	0.7	4.1	1.0	-	-	1.8	0.6	-	-
Since entering college	'									
Freshman	4.5	0.8	8.6	1.5	_	-	S	S	-	-
Sophomore	11.6	1.1	19.1	2.0	-	-	3.7	0.9	-	-
Junior	11.9	1.0	17.9	1.8	-	-	6.3	1.3	-	-
Senior	13.3	1.2	20.0	1.9	-	-	5.1	1.1	-	-

Table 4.3. Percent of Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Absence of Affirmative Consent by Victim Characteristics, Gender and Enrollment Status<sup>1,2</sup> (continued)

				Female (n	=1,411)			Male (n=1	L,356)	
Survey Item		Гotal	Undergraduate (n=1,060)		Profe	Graduate or Professional (n=351)		raduate 912)	Profe	uate or ssional :444)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Graduate/Professional										
Current year										
1st	1.2	0.4	-	-	S	S	-	-	S	S
2nd	1.0	0.6	-	-	S	S	-	-	S	S
3rd	4.3	2.0	-	-	9.9	4.5	-	-	-	-
4th	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5th	4.2	2.3	-	-	S	S	-	-	-	-
6th year or more	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Since entering college										
1st	1.8	0.5	-	-	3.2	1.2	-	-	S	S
2nd	2.6	0.9	-	-	4.5	1.7	-	-	S	S
3rd	4.3	2.0	-	-	9.9	4.5	-	-	-	-
4th	0.9	0.7	-	-	S	S	-	-	-	-
5th	4.2	2.3	-	-	S	S	-	-	-	-
6th year or more	6.8	3.0	-	-	S	S	-	-	S	S

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Per 100 students.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Unless otherwise specified, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 4.4. Percent of Female Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Absence of Affirmative Consent by Victim Characteristics, Behavior and Enrollment Status<sup>1,2</sup>

				Penetra	ation			Sexual To	ouching	
Survey Item	т	otal	Underg	graduate		uate or ssional	Underg	raduate		uate or ssional
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Sexual orientation										
Heterosexual	11.6	0.7	5.8	0.5	1.2	0.5	10.0	0.7	4.0	0.9
Non-Heterosexual	31.7	3.6	19.5	3.8	S	S	24.6	3.2	S	S
Ethnicity				_						
Hispanic	12.0	2.3	5.6	2.1	-	-	12.3	2.8	S	s
Not Hispanic	13.4	0.7	7.3	0.7	1.5	0.6	11.1	0.6	3.9	0.8
Race				_						
American Indian or Alaska Native	23.7	5.3	20.2	5.5	-	-	9.6	3.5	-	-
Asian	9.4	1.0	4.0	0.9	S	s	9.4	1.3	S	S
Black or African American	16.2	2.8	6.3	2.1	S	s	14.7	3.0	-	-
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-    - 	-	-
White	14.5	0.9	7.9	0.7	S	S	11.8	0.8	5.4	1.3
Disability				_						
Yes	23.2	4.4	15.0	4.4	-	-	17.0	4.4	-	-
No	12.9	0.7	6.8	0.6	1.4	0.5	11.0	0.6	4.0	0.9
Marital status				_						
Never married	5.6	1.3	-	-	2.1	0.8	-	-	4.1	1.2
Not married but living with a partner	6.1	2.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.1	2.2
Married	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-	S	S
Divorced or separated	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 4.4. Percent of Female Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Absence of Affirmative Consent by Victim Characteristics, Behavior and Enrollment Status<sup>1,2</sup> (continued)

				Penetra	ition			Sexual To	uching	
Survey Item	т	otal	Underg	raduate		iate or ssional	Underg	raduate		iate or ssional
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Year in School and Ti	ming of	Incidents								
Undergraduate										
Current year										
Freshman	8.6	1.5	3.1	0.9	-	-	6.1	1.3	-	-
Sophomore	7.0	1.1	3.9	0.8	-	-	4.4	1.0	-	-
Junior	4.6	0.9	1.7	0.6	-	-	2.9	0.8	-	-
Senior	4.1	1.0	3.0	0.9	-	-	2.5	0.7	-	-
Since entering co	llege									
Freshman	8.6	1.5	3.1	0.9	-	-	6.1	1.3	-	-
Sophomore	19.1	2.0	8.6	1.4	-	-	12.9	1.6	-	-
Junior	17.9	1.8	5.9	1.2	-	-	13.9	1.6	-	-
Senior	20.0	1.9	10.3	1.2	-	-	12.1	1.5	-	-
Graduate/Professi	ional									
Current year										
1st year	S	S	-	-	s	s	-	-	S	S
2nd year	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-	S	S
3rd year	9.9	4.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	9.9	4.5
4th year	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5th year	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	-	S	S
6th year	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 4.4. Percent of Female Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Absence of Affirmative Consent by Victim Characteristics, Behavior and Enrollment Status<sup>1,2</sup> (continued)

				Penetra	tion			Sexual To	ouching			
Survey Item	т (	otal	Underg	raduate	Graduate or Professional		Underg	raduate		iate or ssional		
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	% StdErr		StdErr		
Since entering	g college	•										
1st year	3.2	1.2	-	-	s	S	-	-	2.4	1.1		
2nd year	4.5	1.7	-	-	S	S	-	-	2.3	1.3		
3rd year	9.9	4.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	9.9	4.5		
4th year	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-	S	S		
5th year	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	-	S	S		
6th year	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	_	S	S		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Per 100 students.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Unless otherwise specified, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 4.5a. Percent of Female Victims of Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent by Offender Characteristics by Behavior and Tactic<sup>1, 2</sup>

		Coer	cion		Absence of Affirmative Consent				
Survey Item	Penetration			Sexual Touching		tration		cual ching	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Offender characteristics									
Gender									
Male	100.0	0.0	S	S	96.5	2.0	97.6	1.3	
Female	-	-	-	-	5.6	2.6	S	S	
Number of offenders									
1 offender	77.6	19.8	S	S	66.4	3.8	59.2	4.1	
2 offenders	S	S	-	-	22.5	3.6	23.2	3.7	
3 or more offenders	-	-	-	-	11.1	3.0	17.5	2.6	
University affiliation									
Student	73.4	23.2	S	S	87.4	3.7	90.5	2.3	
Faculty or instructor	-	-	-	-	-	-	S	S	
Other person affiliated with a university program (ex. internship, study abroad)	-	-	-	-	S	S	S	S	
The person was not affiliated with university	-	-	-	-	-	-	4.1	1.5	
Don't know association with university	s	s	-	-	17.2	4.1	8.7	2.1	

Table 4.5a. Percent of Female Victims of Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent by Offender Characteristics by Behavior and Tactic<sup>1, 2</sup> (continued)

		Coer	cion		Absence of Affirmative Consent			
Survey Item	Penet	ration	Sexual Touching		Penetration		Sexual Touching	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Relationship to victim								
At the time, it was someone I was dating or intimate with	78.0	19.6	-	-	46.4	5.2	16.7	3.1
Someone I had dated or was intimate with	S	s	S	S	18.3	3.2	4.8	1.5
Teacher or advisor	-	-	-	-	-	-	S	S
Co-worker, boss or supervisor	-	-	-	-	S	S	S	s
Friend or acquaintance	-	-	S	S	47.9	4.7	50.9	3.6
Stranger	-	-	-	-	24.4	4.2	50.3	4.4
Don't Know	-	-	-	-	-	-	S	S

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Per 100 victims.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 4.5b. Percent of Male Victims of Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent by Offender Characteristics by Behavior and Tactic<sup>1, 2</sup>

		Coer	cion		Absence of Affirmative Consent			
Survey Item	Pene	tration	Sexual Touching		Penetration			kual ching
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Offender characteristics								
Gender								
Male	-	-	-	-	44.3	11.2	26.7	7.9
Female	-	-	-	-	62.0	11.1	80.5	6.2
Other gender identity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Number of offenders				'				
1 offender	-	-	-	-	81.3	8.1	73.8	6.8
2 offenders	-	-	-	-	18.7	8.1	26.2	6.8
3 or more offenders	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
University affiliation				'				
Student	-	-	-	-	100.0	0.0	93.7	3.4
Faculty or instructor	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Coach or trainer	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other staff or administrator	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other person affiliated with a university program (ex. internship, study abroad)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
The person was not affiliated with university	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Don't know association with university	-	-	-	-	S	s	S	S

Table 4.5b. Percent of Male Victims of Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent by Offender Characteristics by Behavior and Tactic<sup>1, 2</sup> (continued)

		Coer	cion		Absence of Affirmative Consent				
Survey Item	Penetration		Sexual Touching		Penetration			kual ching	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Relationship to victim									
At the time, it was someone I was dating or intimate with	-	-	-	-	32.1	10.9	13.0	4.8	
Someone I had dated or was intimate with	-	-	-	-	s	s	s	S	
Teacher or advisor	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Co-worker, boss or supervisor	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Friend or acquaintance	-	-	-	-	48.7	11.2	74.1	6.7	
Stranger	-	-	-	-	18.5	8.0	21.6	8.1	
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Don't Know	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Per 100 victims.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 4.6. Percent of Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Sexual Contact Involving Physical Force, Incapacitation, Coercion and Absence of Affirmative Consent Since Enrolling at University by Tactic and Gender<sup>1</sup>

Survey Item	Total		Female (n=1,411)		Male (n=1,356)		TGQN (n=29)	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation	12.5	0.5	22.2	0.9	3.5	0.3	19.7	5.5
Penetration	4.9	0.3	9.1	0.6	1.1	0.2	S	S
Sexual Touching	10.1	0.4	17.9	0.8	2.9	0.3	19.7	5.5
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	13.0	0.5	23.0	0.9	3.8	0.3	19.7	5.5
Penetration	5.7	0.3	10.5	0.6	1.4	0.2	S	S
Sexual Touching	10.1	0.4	17.9	0.8	2.9	0.3	19.7	5.5
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion; Attempted Penetration using physical force	13.1	0.5	23.2	0.9	3.8	0.3	19.7	5.5
Penetration	5.8	0.3	10.7	0.6	1.4	0.2	S	S
Sexual Touching	10.2	0.4	18.1	0.8	2.9	0.3	19.7	5.5
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent; Attempted Penetration using physical force	17.4	0.4	29.7	0.8	6.1	0.4	22.2	6.5
Penetration	7.9	0.4	14.1	0.6	2.3	0.3	8.9	4.0
Sexual Touching	13.6	0.4	23.5	0.8	4.6	0.4	19.7	5.5

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>TGQN = Transgender woman, Transgender man, Genderqueer, gender non-conforming, questioning, not listed.

Table 4.7a. Percent of Undergraduates Experiencing Nonconsensual Sexual Contact Involving Physical Force, Incapacitation, Coercion and Absence of Affirmative Consent Since Enrolling at University by Tactic and Gender<sup>1</sup>

Survey Item	,	otal	Female (n=1,060)		Male (n=912)		TGQN (n=22)	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation	15.9	0.6	27.0	1.1	4.2	0.5	26.4	6.8
Penetration	6.5	0.4	11.6	0.7	1.4	0.3	S	S
Sexual Touching	12.8	0.6	21.6	1.0	3.4	0.5	26.4	6.8
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	16.5	0.6	27.9	1.0	4.5	0.5	26.4	6.8
Penetration	7.5	0.4	13.3	0.7	1.7	0.3	S	S
Sexual Touching	12.8	0.6	21.6	1.0	3.4	0.5	26.4	6.8
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion; Attempted Penetration using physical force	16.6	0.6	28.2	1.0	4.5	0.5	26.4	6.8
Penetration	7.6	0.4	13.5	0.7	1.7	0.3	S	S
Sexual Touching	12.9	0.5	21.8	1.0	3.4	0.5	26.4	6.8
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent; Attempted Penetration using physical force	22.0	0.6	35.8	1.0	7.8	0.6	29.8	8.1
Penetration	10.6	0.5	17.9	0.8	3.0	0.4	11.9	5.3
Sexual Touching	17.1	0.5	28.1	1.0	5.7	0.6	26.4	6.8

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>TGQN = Transgender woman, Transgender man, Genderqueer, gender non-conforming, questioning, not listed.

Table 4.7b. Percent of Graduate and Professional Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Sexual Contact Involving Physical Force, Incapacitation, Coercion and Absence of Affirmative Consent Since Enrolling at University by Tactic and Gender<sup>1</sup>

Survey Item		Total		emale =351)	Male (n=444)			TGQN (n=7)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation	5.5	0.8	9.9	1.7	2.4	0.6	-	-
Penetration	1.6	0.4	2.9	0.8	0.8	0.3	-	-
Sexual Touching	4.6	0.7	8.5	1.6	2.0	0.5	-	-
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	5.6	0.7	10.3	1.7	2.4	0.6	-	-
Penetration	1.8	0.4	3.3	0.8	0.8	0.3	-	-
Sexual Touching	4.6	0.7	8.5	1.6	2.0	0.5	-	-
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion; Attempted Penetration using physical force	5.7	0.7	10.5	1.7	2.4	0.6	-	-
Penetration	1.9	0.4	3.5	0.8	0.8	0.3	-	-
Sexual Touching	4.6	0.7	8.5	1.6	2.0	0.5	-	-
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent; Attempted Penetration using physical force	7.5	0.8	14.0	1.8	3.2	0.7	-	-
Penetration	2.4	0.4	4.4	0.9	1.0	0.3	-	-
Sexual Touching	6.4	0.7	11.6	1.6	2.8	0.6	-	-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>TGQN = Transgender woman, Transgender man, Genderqueer, gender non-conforming, questioning, not listed.

Table 4.8. Percent of Seniors Experiencing Nonconsensual Sexual Contact Involving Physical Force, Incapacitation, Coercion and Absence of Affirmative Consent Since Enrolling at University by Tactic and Gender<sup>1</sup>

Survey Item	Total		Female (n=287)		Male (n=231)		TGQN (n=6)	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation	20.8	1.4	32.4	2.1	7.6	1.4	47.1	19.0
Penetration	9.9	1.1	16.5	1.9	2.6	0.8	S	S
Sexual Touching	16.2	1.2	25.6	1.8	5.4	1.2	47.1	19.0
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	22.1	1.5	33.8	2.2	8.9	1.6	47.1	19.0
Penetration	11.4	1.1	18.3	1.8	3.9	1.0	S	S
Sexual Touching	16.2	1.2	25.6	1.8	5.4	1.2	47.1	19.0
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion; Attempted Penetration using physical force	22.2	1.5	34.0	2.2	8.9	1.6	47.1	19.0
Penetration	11.4	1.1	18.3	1.8	3.9	1.0	S	S
Sexual Touching	16.4	1.3	25.8	1.8	5.4	1.2	47.1	19.0
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent; Attempted Penetration using physical force	28.2	1.5	42.0	2.0	13.0	1.8	47.1	19.0
Penetration	16.2	1.2	24.7	2.0	6.6	1.2	S	S
Sexual Touching	20.9	1.4	32.1	2.0	8.1	1.5	47.1	19.0

<sup>1</sup>TGQN = Transgender woman, Transgender man, Genderqueer, gender non-conforming, questioning, not listed.

Table 4.9. Percent of Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Sexual Contact Involving Physical Force, Incapacitation, Coercion and Absence of Affirmative Consent for Current Year by Tactic and Gender<sup>1</sup>

Survey Item		Total		male 1,411)	Male (n=1,356)		TGQN (n=29)	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation	5.3	0.3	9.5	0.6	1.3	0.2	9.7	4.0
Penetration	1.7	0.2	3.1	0.3	0.4	0.1	-	-
Sexual Touching	4.1	0.3	7.2	0.6	1.2	0.2	9.7	4.0
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	5.5	0.3	9.9	0.6	1.4	0.2	9.7	4.0
Penetration	2.0	0.2	3.7	0.4	0.5	0.1	-	-
Sexual Touching	4.1	0.3	7.2	0.6	1.2	0.2	9.7	4.0
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	5.6	0.3	10.1	0.6	1.4	0.2	9.7	4.0
Penetration	2.0	0.2	3.8	0.4	0.5	0.1	-	-
Sexual Touching	4.1	0.3	7.3	0.6	1.2	0.2	9.7	4.0
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	7.9	0.4	13.5	0.7	2.6	0.3	13.4	4.7
Penetration	3.2	0.2	5.6	0.5	1.1	0.2	-	-
Sexual Touching	5.7	0.3	9.8	0.6	1.9	0.2	13.4	4.7

Table 4.10a. Percent of Undergraduates Experiencing Nonconsensual Sexual Contact Involving Physical Force, Incapacitation, Coercion and Absence of Affirmative Consent for Current Year by Tactic and Gender<sup>1</sup>

Survey Item		Total		male 1,060)	Male (n=912)		TGQN (n=22)	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation	6.6	0.4	11.4	0.8	1.6	0.3	13.0	5.2
Penetration	2.3	0.3	4.2	0.5	0.5	0.2	-	-
Sexual Touching	5.0	0.4	8.3	0.8	1.4	0.3	13.0	5.2
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	7.0	0.4	12.0	0.8	1.7	0.3	13.0	5.2
Penetration	2.8	0.3	5.0	0.5	0.5	0.2	-	-
Sexual Touching	5.0	0.4	8.3	0.8	1.4	0.3	13.0	5.2
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	7.1	0.4	12.3	0.8	1.7	0.3	13.0	5.2
Penetration	2.9	0.3	5.2	0.5	0.5	0.2	-	-
Sexual Touching	5.1	0.4	8.6	0.7	1.4	0.3	13.0	5.2
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	9.9	0.5	16.2	0.8	3.3	0.4	17.9	5.9
Penetration	4.3	0.3	7.4	0.6	1.4	0.3	-	-
Sexual Touching	7.0	0.4	11.3	0.8	2.4	0.3	17.9	5.9

Table 4.10b. Percent of Graduate and Professional Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Sexual Contact Involving Physical Force, Incapacitation, Coercion and Absence of Affirmative Consent for Current Year by Tactic and Gender<sup>1</sup>

Survey Item		Total		emale =351)	Male (n=444)		TGQN (n=7)	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation	2.4	0.5	4.5	1.0	1.0	0.3	-	-
Penetration	0.3	0.1	S	S	S	S	-	-
Sexual Touching	2.1	0.4	4.2	0.9	0.8	0.3	-	-
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	2.4	0.5	4.5	1.0	1.0	0.3	-	-
Penetration	0.3	0.1	S	S	S	S	-	-
Sexual Touching	2.1	0.4	4.2	0.9	0.8	0.3	-	-
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	2.4	0.5	4.5	1.0	1.0	0.3	-	-
Penetration	0.3	0.1	S	S	S	S	-	-
Sexual Touching	2.1	0.4	4.2	0.9	0.8	0.3	-	-
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	3.6	0.6	6.6	1.2	1.5	0.4	-	-
Penetration	0.7	0.2	1.0	0.4	0.6	0.2	-	-
Sexual Touching	3.1	0.5	5.9	1.0	1.1	0.3	-	-

Table 4.11. Percent of Seniors Experiencing Nonconsensual Sexual Contact Involving Physical Force, Incapacitation, Coercion and Absence of Affirmative Consent for Current Year by Tactic and Gender<sup>1</sup>

Survey Item		Total		emale =287)	Male (n=231)		TGQN (n=6)	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation	5.5	0.9	10.2	1.6	S	S	-	-
Penetration	2.2	0.5	4.3	1.1	-	-	-	-
Sexual Touching	3.9	0.7	7.0	1.2	S	S	-	-
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	6.0	0.9	10.7	1.7	S	S	-	-
Penetration	2.7	0.6	4.9	1.2	S	S	-	-
Sexual Touching	3.9	0.7	7.0	1.2	S	S	-	-
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	6.0	0.9	10.7	1.7	S	S	-	-
Penetration	2.7	0.6	4.9	1.2	S	S	-	-
Sexual Touching	3.9	0.7	7.0	1.2	S	S	-	-
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	8.2	1.1	13.0	1.8	2.9	0.8	S	S
Penetration	4.3	0.8	7.0	1.4	1.5	0.5	-	-
Sexual Touching	5.3	0.8	7.9	1.2	2.2	0.7	S	S

Table 5.1a. Percent of Students Experiencing Harassment by Type, Gender, Enrollment Status and Characteristics of Harassment<sup>1,2</sup>

				Female (n	=1,411)			Male (n=	1,356)	
Survey Item	To	otal	_	raduate ,060)	Profe	Graduate or Professional (n=351)		raduate 912)	Profes	iate or ssional 444)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Percent of Students Reporting Harassment	56.1	0.8	71.5	1.2	55.4	2.4	51.7	1.3	35.7	1.9
University-associated individual:										
made sexual remarks, or insulting/offensive jokes or stories	38.0	0.7	54.4	1.4	41.7	2.1	29.8	1.3	19.5	1.4
made inappropriate comments regarding body, appearance, or sexual activity	45.9	0.8	60.3	1.2	43.8	2.3	42.9	1.5	26.2	1.7
said crude or gross sexual things or tried to engage in sexual conversation	20.0	0.6	28.2	1.3	21.2	1.8	16.6	0.9	9.5	1.2
transmitted offensive sexual remarks, stories, jokes, pictures, videos	9.4	0.4	13.0	0.8	9.7	1.2	7.6	0.8	5.9	1.0
asked to go out, get dinner, drinks, or have sex, despite refusal	11.1	0.5	22.2	1.1	10.7	1.6	4.4	0.5	1.7	0.4
Percent of Victims of Harassment										
Number of offenders										
1 person	27.0	0.8	24.8	1.3	32.0	2.3	28.9	1.7	26.4	3.2
2 persons	27.3	0.9	28.3	1.0	35.2	2.7	24.0	1.8	23.2	2.6
3 or more persons	45.7	1.0	46.8	1.2	32.9	2.4	47.1	1.9	50.4	4.0

Table 5.1a. Percent of Students Experiencing Harassment by Type, Gender, Enrollment Status and Characteristics of Harassment<sup>1,2</sup> (continued)

				Female (n	=1,411)			Male (n=	1,356)	
Survey Item	T	otal		Undergraduate (n=1,060)				raduate 912)	Profe	uate or ssional 444)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Number of incidents since beginning of 2014 term										
0 times	21.6	0.9	21.3	1.2	26.4	2.5	19.2	1.4	22.8	2.7
1 time	22.5	0.8	20.4	1.0	21.0	2.8	26.1	1.5	23.3	3.0
2 times	22.5	0.9	23.9	1.4	22.1	2.7	22.5	1.4	18.6	2.5
3-5 times	23.9	0.8	25.4	1.2	21.6	2.2	22.6	1.5	24.4	2.7
6-9 times	4.9	0.4	5.3	0.6	5.5	1.2	4.4	0.8	4.8	1.2
10 or more times	4.5	0.5	3.7	0.6	3.5	0.9	5.2	0.8	6.0	1.5
Association with university										
Student	94.9	0.5	98.4	0.4	84.6	2.1	96.1	0.8	91.3	1.8
Faculty	7.1	0.6	5.1	0.7	20.7	2.5	2.3	0.6	10.0	1.9
Coach, religious leader, or other non-academic advisor	1.0	0.2	1.0	0.3	S	S	0.8	0.3	S	S
Other staff or administrator	2.8	0.3	1.9	0.4	9.1	1.4	0.9	0.3	4.7	1.2
Other person affiliated with a university program (ex. internship, study abroad)	2.4	0.3	3.1	0.5	1.7	0.6	1.4	0.4	S	S
The person was not affiliated with [University]	3.8	0.4	3.4	0.6	6.2	1.4	2.1	0.6	6.2	1.4
Don't know association with [University]	6.3	0.4	7.7	0.8	3.5	1.1	6.4	0.9	4.8	1.6

Table 5.1a. Percent of Students Experiencing Harassment by Type, Gender, Enrollment Status and Characteristics of Harassment<sup>1,2</sup> (continued)

				Female (n	=1,411)			Male (n=1,356)			
Survey Item	,				Graduate or Professional (n=351)		Undergraduate (n=912)		Profe	uate or ssional 444)	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Relationship to victim											
At the time, it was someone I was dating or intimate with	6.6	0.5	11.9	1.0	2.4	0.8	3.2	0.6	S	S	
Someone I had dated or was intimate with	7.5	0.5	12.9	0.9	3.2	1.0	3.3	0.6	2.3	0.9	
Teacher or advisor	5.8	0.4	4.5	0.6	15.3	2.1	2.3	0.6	8.1	1.5	
Co-worker, boss or supervisor	4.5	0.5	3.1	0.7	12.8	2.1	1.0	0.4	9.8	1.7	
Friend or acquaintance	77.5	1.0	79.7	0.9	68.0	2.4	77.8	2.0	80.2	2.5	
Stranger	39.3	1.0	50.6	1.5	26.8	2.3	34.7	1.6	22.5	2.6	
Other	4.9	0.5	3.1	0.4	7.4	1.2	5.6	0.9	7.6	1.6	
Don't Know	2.1	0.3	1.4	0.3	S	S	4.1	0.8	S	S	

Table 5.1a. Percent of Students Experiencing Harassment by Type, Gender, Enrollment Status and Characteristics of Harassment<sup>1,2</sup> (continued)

		n=29)		
Survey Item		Undergraduate (n=22)		ate or ssional =7)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Percent of Students Reporting Harassment	77.1	7.1	36.5	15.6
University-associated individual:				
made sexual remarks, or insulting/offensive jokes or stories	64.8	10.4	S	s
made inappropriate comments regarding body, appearance, or sexual activity	68.9	7.8	S	S
said crude or gross sexual things or tried to engage in sexual conversation	40.1	10.1	S	s
transmitted offensive sexual remarks, stories, jokes, pictures, videos	14.3	6.1	-	-
asked to go out, get dinner, drinks, or have sex, despite refusal	39.8	9.4	S	S
Percent of Victims of Harassment				
Number of offenders				
1 person	16.0	9.3	-	-
2 persons	22.7	8.6	S	S
3 or more persons	61.3	11.1	S	s

Table 5.1a. Percent of Students Experiencing Harassment by Type, Gender, Enrollment Status and Characteristics of Harassment<sup>1,2</sup> (continued)

		TGQN (n=29)						
Survey Item		graduate =22)	Profe	luate or essional n=7)				
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr				
Number of incidents since beginning of 2014 term								
0 times	27.5	8.6	S	S				
1 time	22.2	8.0	-	-				
2 times	17.3	6.6	S	S				
3-5 times	21.6	9.2	S	S				
6-9 times	S	S	-	-				
10 or more times	S	S	-	-				
Association with university								
Student	94.9	4.0	S	S				
Faculty	S	S	S	S				
Coach, religious leader, or other non-academic advisor	-	-	-	-				
Other staff or administrator	-	-	-	-				
Other person affiliated with a university program (ex. internship, study abroad)	32.4	9.6	-	-				
The person was not affiliated with [University]	S	S	-	-				
Don't know association with [University]	S	S	-	-				

Table 5.1a. Percent of Students Experiencing Harassment by Type, Gender, Enrollment Status and Characteristics of Harassment<sup>1,2</sup> (continued)

		TGQN (n	=29)	
Survey Item		graduate =22)	Profe	uate or ssional =7)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Relationship to victim				
At the time, it was someone I was dating or intimate with	S	S	-	-
Someone I had dated or was intimate with	17.9	7.1	-	-
Teacher or advisor	S	S	S	S
Co-worker, boss or supervisor	S	S	-	-
Friend or acquaintance	77.2	8.0	S	S
Stranger	53.0	9.9	S	S
Other	-	-	-	-
Don't Know	-	-	-	-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>TGQN = Transgender woman, Transgender man, Genderqueer, gender non-conforming, questioning, not listed.

Table 5.1b. Percent of Victims of Harassment Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status<sup>1, 2</sup>

				Female (n	=1,411)			Male (n=	1,356)		
Survey Item	Total		Undergraduate (n=1,060)		Profes	iate or ssional 351)		raduate 912)	Profe	uate or ssional 444)	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Reporting to Others											
Friend	59.1	0.9	75.6	1.3	69.3	2.9	38.4	1.8	40.2	3.2	
Family member	10.5	0.6	12.7	1.0	20.9	2.2	3.8	0.7	7.1	2.0	
Faculty	2.9	0.4	2.9	0.6	6.1	1.3	1.7	0.5	S	S	
Someone else	5.3	0.4	5.4	0.5	10.1	1.7	3.7	0.8	2.0	0.9	
I didn't tell anyone else	38.8	0.8	24.4	1.2	27.6	2.8	58.2	1.8	54.2	3.5	

Table 5.1b. Percent of Victims of Harassment Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status<sup>1, 2</sup> (continued)

				Female (n:	=1,411)			Male (n=	1,356)	
Survey Item	т	otal		graduate 1,060)	Profe	uate or ssional 351)	Undergraduate (n=912)		Profe	uate or ssional 444)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Reporting to a Program										
Contacted at least one program in university list	9.2	0.6	11.2	1.0	13.1	2.0	6.5	1.0	2.5	0.9
Contacted Sexual Assault Awareness Program (SAAP) or Survivor Advocate	22.1	2.5	31.8	3.2	S	S	16.4	5.7	-	-
Most recent contact								•	'	
Fall of 2014 - present	58.0	6.5	51.1	7.2	S	S	67.7	19.1	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	23.2	6.1	23.8	6.6	-	-	S	S	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	18.9	5.0	25.0	6.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Y	ear									
Not at all	9.0	4.1	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	-
A little	21.5	5.9	17.6	5.9	S	S	S	S	-	-
Somewhat	28.4	6.7	32.2	8.2	-	-	S	S	-	-
Very	28.0	5.8	29.9	7.4	-	-	S	S	-	-
Extremely	13.0	4.6	17.2	5.8	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 5.1b. Percent of Victims of Harassment Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status<sup>1, 2</sup> (continued)

				Female (n	=1,411)		Male (n=1,356)				
Survey Item	т	Total		Undergraduate (n=1,060)		Graduate or Professional (n=351)		raduate 912)	Profe	uate or ssional 444)	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Contacted Dartmouth Dick's House - Health Services	28.8	3.0	31.5	4.3	31.2	7.0	19.2	5.1	S	S	
Most recent contact											
Fall of 2014 - present	64.0	5.5	54.4	7.9	86.9	10.8	84.1	13.0	s	S	
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	24.9	5.3	35.9	7.6	-	-	S	S	-	-	
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	6.5	3.0	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	
Prior to Fall of 2012	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Y	'ear		,		'						
Not at all	16.7	4.7	18.5	6.1	S	s	-	-	-	-	
A little	32.3	5.4	30.8	7.3	S	S	S	S	-	-	
Somewhat	21.4	4.7	20.6	7.1	S	S	42.2	16.6	-	-	
Very	23.7	4.0	25.3	6.4	S	S	S	S	-	-	
Extremely	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	S	S	

Table 5.1b. Percent of Victims of Harassment Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status<sup>1, 2</sup> (continued)

				Female (n	=1,411)		Male (n=1,356)			
Survey Item	т	otal	Undergraduate (n=1,060)		Graduate or Professional (n=351)		Undergraduate (n=912)		Profe	uate or ssional :444)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Dartmouth Counseling	48.4	3.3	62.4	3.8	37.7	7.3	25.4	5.9	-	-
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	65.6	4.2	62.0	5.9	78.4	11.7	77.1	12.8	_	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	23.8	4.2	29.2	5.6	S	S	S	S	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	8.5	2.4	5.7	2.5	S	S	S	S	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School	Year	'								'
Not at all	11.6	2.7	12.6	3.5	S	S	-	-	-	-
A little	21.4	4.3	20.2	4.7	S	S	S	S	-	-
Somewhat	33.8	4.3	35.2	5.9	37.1	14.4	s	s	-	-
Very	20.6	3.7	17.3	4.4	S	S	55.9	16.3	-	-
Extremely	12.6	3.4	14.7	3.9	S	S	s	s	-	-

Table 5.1b. Percent of Victims of Harassment Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status<sup>1, 2</sup> (continued)

				Female (n	=1,411)			Male (n=:	1,356)	
Survey Item	т	Total		Undergraduate (n=1,060)		Graduate or Professional (n=351)		raduate 912)	Profe	uate or ssional 444)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Title IX Coordinator	10.8	1.7	12.1	2.8	12.6	5.0	9.9	4.6	-	-
Most recent contact									,	
Fall of 2014 - present	90.7	7.3	100	0.0	S	S	100	0.0	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 Scho	ool Year			'			1			<u>'</u>
Not at all	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-
A little	19.2	8.6	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	18.6	8.2	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-
Very	14.2	5.8	s	S	S	S	-	-	-	-
Extremely	43.2	9.5	39.9	11.9	-	-	100	0.0	-	-

Table 5.1b. Percent of Victims of Harassment Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status<sup>1, 2</sup> (continued)

				Female (n	=1,411)		Male (n=1,356)				
Survey Item	т	Total		Undergraduate (n=1,060)		uate or ssional 351)	Undergraduate (n=912)		Profe	uate or ssional 444)	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Contacted WISE of the Upper Valley	8.2	1.9	11.7	2.9	S	S	-	-	-	-	
Most recent contact	<u>'</u>								,		
Fall of 2014 - present	83.9	8.0	100	0.0	S	S	-	-	_	-	
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	S	s	-	-	S	S	-	-	-	-	
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Prior to Fall of 2012	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School	Year	'					1	'		•	
Not at all	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
A little	24.3	10.0	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	
Somewhat	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	-	-	-	
Very	40.4	14.6	53.8	15.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Extremely	26.0	12.0	25.4	11.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	

Table 5.1b. Percent of Victims of Harassment Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status<sup>1, 2</sup> (continued)

				Female (n	=1,411)		Male (n=1,356)				
Survey Item	т	Total		Undergraduate (n=1,060)		uate or ssional :351)	Undergraduate (n=912)		Profe	uate or ssional 444)	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Contacted Undergraduate Deans	25.8	3.1	31.5	4.3	S	S	24.6	7.3	-	-	
Most recent contact	<u>'</u>								,		
Fall of 2014 - present	43.8	6.5	48.4	8.7	S	S	S	S	-	-	
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	43.1	6.2	37.0	9.2	S	S	68.7	18.0	-	-	
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	7.4	3.1	11.5	4.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Prior to Fall of 2012	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School	ol Year	'		'				'		'	
Not at all	10.2	4.0	15.9	6.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	
A little	13.6	4.3	11.1	4.7	-	-	S	S	-	-	
Somewhat	30.6	5.2	25.0	7.8	S	S	S	S	-	-	
Very	32.6	6.7	35.7	8.1	-	-	47.1	21.7	-	-	
Extremely	12.9	4.5	12.3	5.4	-	-	S	S	-	-	

Table 5.1b. Percent of Victims of Harassment Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status<sup>1, 2</sup> (continued)

				Female (n	=1,411)		Male (n=1,356)			
Survey Item	T	otal	Undergraduate (n=1,060)		Graduate or Professional (n=351)		Undergraduate (n=912)		Profe	uate or ssional 444)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Graduate and Professional School Deans of Student Affairs	9.8	2.3	-	-	44.1	9.5	-	-	S	S
Most recent contact					·					
Fall of 2014 - present	30.9	14.2	-	-	S	S	-	-	S	s
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	53.4	16.6	-	-	52.9	20.4	-	-	S	S
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	S	s	-	-	S	S	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Y	'ear	'						•		
Not at all	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	-	-	-
A little	36.9	10.9	-	-	44.2	12.3	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Very	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	-	S	s
Extremely	25.9	10.4	-	-	S	S	-	-	S	S

Table 5.1b. Percent of Victims of Harassment Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status<sup>1, 2</sup> (continued)

				Female (n	=1,411)			Male (n=:	1,356)		
Survey Item	Т	otal	Undergraduate (n=1,060)		Graduate or Professional (n=351)		Undergraduate (n=912)		Profe	uate or ssional 444)	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Contacted Office of Religious and Spiritual Life (Chaplains), Tucker Foundation	2.8	1.0	S	S	-	-	10.4	4.4	-	-	
Most recent contact											
Fall of 2014 - present	S	S	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	-	
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	S	S	-	-	-	-	S	S	-	-	
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	S	S	-	-	-	-	S	S	-	-	
Prior to Fall of 2012	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Ye	ear				'						
Not at all	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
A little	S	S	-	-	-	-	S	S	-	-	
Somewhat	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Very	S	S	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	-	
Extremely	S	S	-	-	-	-	S	S	-	-	

Table 5.1b. Percent of Victims of Harassment Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status<sup>1, 2</sup> (continued)

				Female (n	=1,411)		Male (n=1,356)				
Survey Item	т	Total		Undergraduate (n=1,060)		uate or ssional 351)	Undergraduate (n=912)		Profe	uate or essional e444)	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Contacted Dartmouth Department of Safety and Security	17.2	2.4	15.8	3.3	14.9	6.1	23.8	5.4	-	-	
Most recent contact											
Fall of 2014 - present	47.8	7.4	58.0	11.3	-	-	66.0	13.6	-	-	
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	32.3	8.5	22.2	9.9	S	S	34.0	13.6	-	-	
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	19.8	7.1	19.8	8.3	S	S	-	-	-	-	
Prior to Fall of 2012	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School \	/ear		1								
Not at all	23.8	7.0	S	S	S	s	S	s	-	-	
A little	15.9	6.0	18.5	10.5	-	-	S	S	-	-	
Somewhat	17.6	7.8	S	S	S	S	S	s	-	-	
Very	30.3	7.2	41.0	10.8	-	-	35.1	13.8	-	-	
Extremely	12.3	4.9	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	-	

Table 5.1b. Percent of Victims of Harassment Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status<sup>1, 2</sup> (continued)

				Female (n	=1,411)					
Survey Item	,	otal	Undergraduate (n=1,060)		Graduate or Professional (n=351)		Undergraduate (n=912)		Profe	uate or ssional 444)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Local Police Department	5.4	1.7	3.0	1.3	S	S	11.3	4.9	S	S
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	33.4	17.7	S	S	-	-	S	S	S	S
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	S	S	-	-	-	-	S	S	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	42.8	23.9	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School	Year		,			'				•
Not at all	S	S	-	-	-	-	S	S	-	-
A little	31.8	17.0	S	S	S	s	S	S	-	-
Somewhat	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-	S	S
Very	S	S	S	S	-	_	S	S	-	-
Extremely	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 5.1b. Percent of Victims of Harassment Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status<sup>1, 2</sup> (continued)

				Female (n	=1,411)			Male (n=	1,356)	
Survey Item	т	otal	Undergraduate (n=1,060)		Graduate or Professional (n=351)		Undergraduate (n=912)		Profe	uate or ssional :444)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Did not contact any programs		'								
Reason										
Did not know where to go or who to tell	4.5	0.4	6.3	0.7	4.1	1.1	2.8	0.7	3.4	1.2
Felt embarrassed, ashamed, or that it would be too emotionally difficult	5.0	0.4	8.4	0.9	4.1	1.1	1.2	0.5	3.6	1.1
I did not think anyone would believe me	2.2	0.3	2.9	0.6	1.6	0.7	1.5	0.5	2.2	0.9
I did not think it was serious enough to report	79.9	0.8	83.8	1.2	79.2	2.5	75.3	1.7	78.8	2.5
I did not want the person to get into trouble	10.7	0.7	9.9	0.9	16.5	2.4	6.6	1.0	17.2	2.4
I feared negative social consequences	10.0	0.5	10.7	0.9	17.9	2.3	6.0	0.9	9.6	1.6
I did not think anything would be done	16.0	0.8	20.3	1.2	22.8	2.7	9.1	1.2	10.3	2.1
I feared it would not be kept confidential	6.6	0.4	7.1	0.7	14.7	1.9	3.1	0.7	5.1	1.2
Incident was not on campus or associated with the school	4.1	0.4	2.5	0.5	10.3	2.1	2.6	0.6	7.3	1.6
Incident did not occur while attending school	3.1	0.4	2.3	0.4	3.9	1.1	2.8	0.6	5.9	1.4
Other	16.7	0.8	15.8	1.3	14.1	2.1	20.3	1.5	13.5	1.9

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Per 100 victims.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Unless otherwise specified, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 5.2a. Percent of Students in Partnered Relationships Experiencing Intimate Partner Violence by Type, Gender, Enrollment Status and Characteristics of Harassment<sup>1, 2,3</sup>

				Female (n	=1,411)			Male (n=	1,356)	
Survey Item	т	otal	Undergraduate (n=1,060)		Graduate or Professional (n=351)			graduate 912)	Profe	uate or ssional 444)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Percent of Students in Partnered Relationships Reporting Intimate Partner Violence	7.2	0.4	11.1	0.9	4.5	0.8	5.8	0.7	4.9	0.9
Partner controlled or tried to control	4.7	0.4	7.2	0.8	3.1	0.7	3.5	0.5	3.6	0.8
Partner threatened to harm student, family, or themselves	2.7	0.3	4.0	0.5	1.2	0.5	2.3	0.5	2.5	0.6
Partner used physical force	2.7	0.3	3.8	0.5	2.1	0.7	2.6	0.5	1.8	0.5
Percent of Victims of Intimate Partner Violence										
Number of offenders										
1 person	93.2	1.7	91.0	2.9		0.0	95.9	3.2	90.7	5.2
2 persons	5.1	1.6	6.9	2.7	-	-	S	S	S	S
3 or more persons	1.7	0.7	S	S	-	-	-	-	S	s
Number of incidents since beginning of 2014 term										
0 times	40.0	3.6	42.9	4.9	54.4	11.2	43.1	6.8	S	s
1 time	27.4	3.1	28.4	3.8	-	-	26.0	5.0	46.5	9.5
2 times	11.7	2.0	11.8	3.3	20.0	8.7	8.0	3.7	13.9	6.0
3-5 times	9.2	1.8	5.4	1.8	S	s	10.8	3.6	18.0	7.4
6-9 times	5.9	1.6	6.9	2.3	S	S	7.4	3.3	-	-
10 or more times	5.8	1.5	4.6	2.1	S	S	S	S	S	S

Table 5.2a. Percent of Students in Partnered Relationships Experiencing Intimate Partner Violence by Type, Gender, Enrollment Status and Characteristics of Harassment<sup>1, 2,3</sup> (continued)

		TGQN	(n=29)	
Survey Item		graduate =22)		Professional =7)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Percent of Students in Partnered Relationships Reporting Intimate Partner Violence	S	S	-	-
Partner controlled or tried to control	S	S	-	-
Partner threatened to harm student, family, or themselves	-	-	-	-
Partner used physical force	-	-	-	-
Percent of Victims of Intimate Partner Violence	·			
Number of offenders				
1 person	S	S	-	-
2 persons	-	-	-	-
3 or more persons	-	-	-	-
Number of incidents since beginning of 2014 term	'		'	
0 times	S	S	-	-
1 time	-	-	-	-
2 times	-	-	-	-
3-5 times	-	-	-	-
6-9 times	-	-	-	-
10 or more times	-	-	-	-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Percent of students who reported being in a partnered relationship since entering college (question A13 on questionnaire).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>TGQN = Transgender woman, Transgender man, Genderqueer, gender non-conforming, questioning, not listed.

Table 5.2b. Percent of Victims of Intimate Partner Violence Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status<sup>1, 2</sup>

				Female (n	=1,411)			Male (n=	1,356)	
Survey Item	т	otal		raduate ,060)	Profes	iate or ssional 351)		raduate 912)	Profe	iate or ssional 444)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Reporting to Others										
Friend	76.8	2.5	76.5	3.5	70.7	10.4	75.5	6.1	81.4	7.3
Family member	27.7	2.9	19.4	3.6	55.2	11.0	32.2	5.7	28.9	10.2
Faculty	6.1	1.5	4.1	1.8	S	S	11.0	4.2	-	-
Someone else	8.4	1.7	12.1	2.7	S	s	S	S	S	S
I didn't tell anyone else	20.6	2.4	21.8	3.3	19.1	8.2	21.0	5.8	18.6	7.3

Table 5.2b. Percent of Victims of Intimate Partner Violence Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status<sup>1, 2</sup> (continued)

				Female (n	=1,411)			Male (n=	1,356)	
Survey Item	T	otal		raduate .,060)	Profe	uate or ssional 351)		raduate 912)	Profe	uate or ssional 444)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Reporting to a Program	'				'				1	
Contacted at least one program in university list	19.2	2.4	21.0	3.4	45.2	11.3	11.9	3.7	S	S
Contacted Sexual Assault Awareness Program (SAAP) or Survivor Advocate	15.1	4.9	23.7	8.6	-	-	S	S	-	-
Most recent contact		'				•				
Fall of 2014 - present	59.4	22.0	70.3	25.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	S	S	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Y	ear									
Not at all	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
A little	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-
Very	S	S	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	-
Extremely	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 5.2b. Percent of Victims of Intimate Partner Violence Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status<sup>1, 2</sup> (continued)

				Female (n	=1,411)			Male (n=	1,356)	
Survey Item	т	otal		raduate ,060)	Profes	ate or ssional 351)		raduate 912)	Profe	uate or ssional 444)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Dartmouth Dick's House - Health Services	30.8	7.4	20.5	7.6	S	S	66.3	20.1	S	S
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	66.1	19.0	77.5	20.0	S	S	S	S	S	S
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	s	s	-	-	-	-	S	S	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	S	S	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Y	ear							,		
Not at all	33.3	19.0	S	s	-	-	S	s	-	-
A little	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-	S	S
Somewhat	S	s	-	-	-	-	S	s	-	-
Very	39.5	16.0	S	s	S	S	S	s	-	-
Extremely	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 5.2b. Percent of Victims of Intimate Partner Violence Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status<sup>1, 2</sup> (continued)

				Female (n	=1,411)			Male (n=	1,356)	
Survey Item	т	otal		raduate .,060)	Profe	uate or ssional 351)	Undergraduate (n=912)		Graduate of Professiona (n=444)	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Dartmouth Counseling	73.3	6.5	69.5	9.5	86.0	11.9	54.7	23.9	S	S
Most recent contact	·				<u>'</u>					
Fall of 2014 - present	65.1	8.9	63.1	11.6	68.3	26.0	S	S	S	S
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	19.8	6.6	30.3	11.0	-	-	S	S	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	15.1	7.2	S	S	S	S	S	S	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 Scho	ol Year									'
Not at all	15.1	5.3	s	S	S	S	S	S	-	-
A little	19.2	6.9	S	S	-	-	-	-	S	S
Somewhat	20.3	8.0	S	S	S	S	S	S	-	-
Very	30.1	7.3	43.2	12.5	S	S	S	S	-	-
Extremely	15.3	7.0	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-

Table 5.2b. Percent of Victims of Intimate Partner Violence Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status<sup>1, 2</sup> (continued)

				Female (n	=1,411)			Male (n=	1,356)	
Survey Item	т	otal		graduate .,060)	Profe	uate or ssional 351)	Undergraduate (n=912)		Profe	uate or ssional :444)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Title IX Coordinator	8.6	3.7	S	S	S	s	-	-	-	-
Most recent contact	'					•			'	<u>.</u>
Fall of 2014 - present	100	_	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 Scho	ol Year			'						
Not at all	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	-	-	-
A little	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Very	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Extremely	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 5.2b. Percent of Victims of Intimate Partner Violence Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status<sup>1, 2</sup> (continued)

				Female (n:	=1,411)			Male (n=	1,356)	
Survey Item	т	otal		raduate .,060)	Profe	uate or ssional 351)	Undergraduate (n=912)		Profe	uate or ssional 444)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted WISE of the Upper Valley	8.8	4.0	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-
Most recent contact							,			
Fall of 2014 - present	100	_	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 Scho	ool Year					•				
Not at all	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
A little	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Very	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Extremely	S	S	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-

Table 5.2b. Percent of Victims of Intimate Partner Violence Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status<sup>1, 2</sup> (continued)

				Female (n:	=1,411)			Male (n=	1,356)	
Survey Item	т	otal		graduate .,060)	Profe	uate or ssional 351)	Undergraduate (n=912)		Profe	uate or ssional 444)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Undergraduate Deans	14.5	3.9	22.5	7.2	-	-	S	S	-	-
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	47.2	18.6	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 Scho	ool Year				'	•		•		
Not at all	47.9	18.7	57.1	21.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
A little	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	S	S	-	-	-	-	S	S	-	-
Very	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-
Extremely	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 5.2b. Percent of Victims of Intimate Partner Violence Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status<sup>1, 2</sup> (continued)

				Female (n	=1,411)			Male (n=:	1,356)	
Survey Item	Т	Undergra Total (n=1,0			Profes	ate or ssional 351)		raduate 912)	Profe	uate or ssional 444)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Graduate and Professional School Deans of Student Affairs	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-	S	S
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	100	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	s	S
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Y	ear								,	
Not at all	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
A little	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Very	100	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	S	S
Extremely	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 5.2b. Percent of Victims of Intimate Partner Violence Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status<sup>1, 2</sup> (continued)

				Female (n	=1,411)			Male (n=:	1,356)	
Survey Item	1	otal		raduate ,060)	Profes	ate or ssional 351)		raduate 912)	Profe	uate or ssional 444)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Office of Religious and Spiritual Life (Chaplains), Tucker Foundation	S	S	-	-	-	-	S	S	-	-
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	100	_	-	-	-	-	S	S	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Ye	ear							,	1	'
Not at all	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
A little	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Very	100	_	-	-	-	-	S	S	-	-
Extremely	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 5.2b. Percent of Victims of Intimate Partner Violence Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status<sup>1, 2</sup> (continued)

				Female (n	=1,411)			Male (n=:	1,356)	
Survey Item	т	'otal		raduate ,060)	Profe	uate or ssional 351)		raduate 912)	Profe	iate or ssional 444)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Dartmouth Department of Safety and Security	10.2	4.6	18.9	8.2	-	-	-	-	-	_
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	57.5	21.3	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	24.4	24.7	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	18.1	16.1	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Y	ear								1	'
Not at all	18.1	16.1	s	s	-	-	-	-	-	-
A little	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	24.0	21.2	S	s	-	-	-	-	-	-
Very	24.4	24.7	S	s	-	-	-	-	-	-
Extremely	33.5	32.4	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 5.2b. Percent of Victims of Intimate Partner Violence Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status<sup>1, 2</sup> (continued)

				Female (n	=1,411)			Male (n=:	1,356)	
Survey Item	,	otal		raduate ,060)	Profe	uate or ssional 351)	Undergraduate (n=912)		Profe	uate or ssional 444)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Local Police Department	s	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-
Most recent contact	<u> </u>									
Fall of 2014 - present	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	100	_	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School	Year				'	'			1	
Not at all	100	_	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-
A little	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Very	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Extremely	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 5.2b. Percent of Victims of Intimate Partner Violence Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status<sup>1, 2</sup> (continued)

				Female (n	=1,411)			Male (n=	1,356)	
Survey Item	Т	otal		graduate .,060)	Profe	uate or ssional 351)	Undergraduate (n=912)		Profe	iate or ssional 444)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Did not contact any programs	•	,			,					'
Reason										
Did not know where to go or who to tell	11.4	2.4	9.3	2.7	S	S	13.7	4.9	S	S
Felt embarrassed, ashamed, or that it would be too emotionally difficult	20.2	3.1	28.7	4.5	S	S	11.6	4.3	S	S
I did not think anyone would believe me	8.3	2.5	10.5	3.0	-	-	S	S	S	S
I did not think it was serious enough to report	65.6	3.3	51.6	4.6	76.4	12.7	73.7	5.9	86.2	7.5
I did not want the person to get into trouble	20.7	3.0	22.0	3.9	S	S	15.1	4.5	28.6	12.4
I feared negative social consequences	15.8	3.0	19.6	4.5	-	-	S	S	28.8	12.6
I did not think anything would be done	14.3	2.5	21.9	4.0	-	-	8.5	3.8	S	S
I feared it would not be kept confidential	11.8	2.6	17.2	4.4	-	-	8.0	3.7	S	S
Incident was not on campus or associated with the school	15.9	2.3	10.6	3.0	65.5	14.4	S	S	34.2	10.2
Incident did not occur while attending school	3.5	1.4	5.7	2.6	S	S	-	-	-	-
Other	18.3	2.6	16.2	3.6	S	S	26.0	5.8	S	S

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Per 100 victims.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Unless otherwise specified, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 5.3a. Percent of Students Experiencing Stalking by Type, Gender, Enrollment Status and Characteristics of Stalking<sup>1,2</sup>

				Female (n	=1,411)		Male (n=1,356)					
Survey Item	т	otal		graduate .,060)	Profes	uate or ssional 351)		raduate 912)	Profe	uate or ssional 444)		
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr		
Percent of Students Reporting Stalking	3.1	0.2	5.1	0.4	5.6	1.0	1.5	0.4	S	S		
Unwanted calls, emails, messages, pictures, video on social networking that caused fear for personal safety	1.8	0.2	3.2	0.4	2.7	0.6	1.2	0.3	S	S		
Showed up somewhere or waited for student in manner that caused fear for personal safety	1.6	0.2	2.6	0.4	2.9	0.9	0.8	0.3	-	-		
Spied on, watched, or followed in manner that caused fear for personal safety	0.8	0.1	1.3	0.3	1.3	0.5	0.5	0.2	-	-		
Percent of Victims of Stalking		ļ.		ļ		ļ		ļ		!		
Number of incidents since beginning	of 201	4 term										
0 times	31.5	4.1	31.3	5.2	42.9	11.9	s	s	-	-		
1 time	17.4	3.0	25.0	4.4	14.9	6.3	-	-	-	-		
2 times	20.6	3.4	19.4	4.6	20.5	8.1	29.8	10.5	-	-		
3-5 times	15.9	2.8	16.3	4.0	S	S	24.1	9.2	-	-		
6-9 times	10.0	2.6	6.5	3.0	S	S	21.0	9.3	-	-		
10 or more times	4.6	1.8	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S		
Association with university				'								
Student	85.3	2.8	89.3	3.4	72.0	8.0	88.0	6.5	S	s		
Faculty	6.2	2.3	-	-	S	S	S	S	-	-		
Coach, religious leader, or other non-academic advisor	S	S	-	-	-	-	S	S	-	-		
Other staff or administrator	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Other person affiliated with a university program (ex. internship, study abroad)	S	S	-	-	-	-	S	S	-	-		
The person was not affiliated with university	6.1	2.3	S	S	S	S	S	S	-	-		
Don't know association with university	11.7	2.6	10.5	3.4	S	S	21.7	9.5	-	-		

Table 5.3a. Percent of Students Experiencing Stalking by Type, Gender, Enrollment Status and Characteristics of Stalking<sup>1,2</sup> (continued)

			ı	Female (n	=1,411)			Male (n=	1,356)	
Survey Item	T	otal	Undergraduate (n=1,060)		Graduate or Professional (n=351)		Undergraduate (n=912)		Profe	uate or ssional 444)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Relationship to victim										
At the time, it was someone I was dating or intimate with	6.2	2.1	11.7	3.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
Someone I had dated or was intimate with	23.9	3.3	32.7	5.4	21.7	8.5	S	S	-	-
Co-worker, boss or supervisor	4.6	2.0	-	-	18.7	7.4	-	_	-	-
Friend or acquaintance	51.3	4.7	44.0	6.5	54.8	8.9	62.9	12.7	S	S
Stranger	22.6	3.9	22.9	5.3	17.1	7.7	34.6	11.2	-	-
Other	5.5	2.2	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-
Don't Know	S	S	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	-

## Table 5.3a. Percent of Students Experiencing Stalking by Type, Gender, Enrollment Status and Characteristics of Stalking<sup>1,2</sup> (continued)

		TGQN (	n=29)	
Survey Item		raduate =22)	Profe	uate or ssional =7)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Percent of Students Reporting Stalking	S	S	S	S
Unwanted calls, emails, messages, pictures, video on social networking that caused fear for personal safety	-	-	-	-
Showed up somewhere or waited for student in manner that caused fear for personal safety	S	S	S	S
Spied on, watched, or followed in manner that caused fear for personal safety	-	-	-	-
Percent of Victims of Stalking				
Number of incidents since beginning of 2014 term				
0 times	S	S	-	-
1 time	-	-	-	-
2 times	-	-	-	-
3-5 times	S	S	S	S
6-9 times	-	-	-	-
10 or more times	-	-	-	-
Association with university				
Student	S	S	s	s
Faculty	-	-	-	-
Coach, religious leader, or other non-academic advisor	-	-	-	-
Other staff or administrator	-	-	-	-
Other person affiliated with a university program (ex. internship, study abroad)	-	-	-	-
The person was not affiliated with university	-	-	-	-
Don't know association with university	-	-	-	-

Table 5.3a. Percent of Students Experiencing Stalking by Type, Gender, Enrollment Status and Characteristics of Stalking<sup>1,2</sup> (continued)

		TGQN (r	n=29)	
Survey Item		raduate :22)	Profe	ate or ssional =7)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Relationship to victim				
At the time, it was someone I was dating or intimate with	-	-	-	-
Someone I had dated or was intimate with	-	-	-	-
Co-worker, boss or supervisor	-	-	-	-
Friend or acquaintance	S	S	-	-
Stranger	-	-	-	-
Other	-	-	S	S
Don't Know	-	-	-	-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>TGQN = Transgender woman, Transgender man, Genderqueer, gender non-conforming, questioning, not listed.

Table 5.3b. Percent of Victims of Stalking Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status<sup>1, 2</sup>

				Female (n	=1,411)		Male (n=1,356)					
Survey Item	т	otal	Undergraduate (n=1,060)		Graduate or Professional (n=351)		Undergraduate (n=912)		Profe	uate or ssional 444)		
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr		
Reporting to Others												
Friend	93.3	2.2	100	0.0	94.4	4.5	76.3	10.1	S	S		
Family member	43.7	4.1	50.5	5.7	35.7	8.5	39.2	11.3	-	-		
Faculty	18.2	3.9	15.7	4.5	S	S	39.4	12.0	-	-		
Someone else	17.6	3.6	19.6	5.0	17.0	7.6	S	S	-	-		
I didn't tell anyone else	3.8	1.7	-	-	S	S	S	S	-	-		

Table 5.3b. Percent of Victims of Stalking Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status<sup>1, 2</sup> (continued)

				Female (n	=1,411)			Male (n=	1,356)	
Survey Item	т	otal		Undergraduate (n=1,060)		Graduate or Professional (n=351)		Undergraduate (n=912)		uate or ssional 444)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Reporting to a Program	1	1								
Contacted at least one program in university list	38.6	4.6	38.7	5.4	44.6	11.8	39.6	14.0	-	-
		T	I	1				1		
Contacted Sexual Assault Awareness Program (SAAP) or Survivor Advocate	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-
Most recent contact		'	1							1
Fall of 2014 - present	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	100	_	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	-	<u>-</u>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Y	ear									
Not at all	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
A little	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Very	48.8	53.6	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-
Extremely	51.2	53.6	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 5.3b. Percent of Victims of Stalking Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status<sup>1, 2</sup> (continued)

				Female (n	=1,411)			Male (n=:	1,356)	
Survey Item	т	otal	Undergraduate (n=1,060)		Graduate or Professional (n=351)		Undergraduate (n=912)		Profe	iate or ssional 444)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Dartmouth Dick's House - Health Services	18.0	6.4	25.0	9.0	S	S	-	-	-	-
Most recent contact	·									
Fall of 2014 - present	74.1	21.7	100	0.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School \	/ear									
Not at all	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
A little	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	60.9	20.3	82.3	16.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
Very	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-
Extremely	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 5.3b. Percent of Victims of Stalking Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status<sup>1, 2</sup> (continued)

				Female (n:	=1,411)			Male (n=:	1,356)	
Survey Item	т	otal		Undergraduate (n=1,060)		uate or ssional 351)	Undergraduate (n=912)		Profe	uate or ssional 444)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Dartmouth Counseling	42.7	7.7	43.9	10.2	40.9	12.2	S	S	-	-
Most recent contact	·									
Fall of 2014 - present	61.6	13.3	45.3	17.8	S	s	S	S	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	24.4	11.3	44.4	17.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	S	S	S	S	S	s	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School	Year		,			'				
Not at all	s	s	S	S	S	s	-	-	-	-
A little	S	S	S	S	S	s	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	47.6	10.6	65.6	17.5	-	-	S	S	-	-
Very	S	s	-	-	S	s	S	s	-	-
Extremely	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 5.3b. Percent of Victims of Stalking Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status<sup>1, 2</sup> (continued)

				Female (n	=1,411)			Male (n=:	1,356)	
Survey Item	,	otal	Undergraduate (n=1,060)		Graduate or Professional (n=351)		Undergraduate (n=912)		Profe	uate or ssional 444)
Response	%	% StdErr		StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Title IX Coordinator	11.7	5.5	13.2	5.8	S	S	-	-	-	-
Most recent contact									,	
Fall of 2014 - present	60.1	32.0	100	0.0	-	-	-	-	_	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 Schoo	Year						,			
Not at all	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-
A little	S	S	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Very	S	s	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-
Extremely	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 5.3b. Percent of Victims of Stalking Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status<sup>1, 2</sup> (continued)

				Female (n	=1,411)			Male (n=	1,356)	
Survey Item	т	otal		raduate ,060)	Graduate or Professional (n=351)		Undergraduate (n=912)		Profe	uate or ssional 444)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted WISE of the Upper Valley	9.5	4.3	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	-
Most recent contact	·									
Fall of 2014 - present	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	S	S	-	-	-	-	S	S	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School \	'ear	'			1					•
Not at all	S	S	-	-	-	-	S	S	-	-
A little	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Very	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Extremely	S	S	s	s	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 5.3b. Percent of Victims of Stalking Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status<sup>1, 2</sup> (continued)

				Female (n	=1,411)			Male (n=	1,356)	
Survey Item	,	otal		Undergraduate (n=1,060)		uate or ssional 351)	Undergraduate (n=912)		Profe	uate or ssional 444)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Undergraduate Deans	35.6	7.3	51.5	10.2	-	-	S	S	-	-
Most recent contact	·									
Fall of 2014 - present	65.8	14.0	55.8	16.3	-	-	S	S	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 Scho	ol Year		1				1			'
Not at all	40.8	14.4	52.9	16.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
A little	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-
Very	29.4	14.8	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	-
Extremely	S	s	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 5.3b. Percent of Victims of Stalking Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status<sup>1, 2</sup> (continued)

				Female (n	=1,411)			Male (n=:	1,356)	
Survey Item	т	otal			Graduate or Professional (n=351)		Undergraduate (n=912)		Profe	iate or ssional 444)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Graduate and Professional School Deans of Student Affairs	26.7	8.2	-	-	78.0	14.9	S	S	-	-
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	s	S	-	-	S	S	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	55.5	25.6	-	-	67.3	26.6	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	s	S	-	-	S	S	S	S	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Y	ear									
Not at all	s	S	-	-	S	s	-	-	-	-
A little	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	s	S	-	-	-	-	S	S	-	-
Very	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Extremely	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	-	-	-

Table 5.3b. Percent of Victims of Stalking Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status<sup>1, 2</sup> (continued)

				Female (n	=1,411)			Male (n=1,356)				
Survey Item	Total			raduate ,060)	Graduate or Professional (n=351)		Undergraduate (n=912)		Profe	uate or ssional 444)		
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr		
Contacted Office of Religious and Spiritual Life (Chaplains), Tucker Foundation	S	S	-	-	-	-	S	S	-	-		
Most recent contact												
Fall of 2014 - present	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-		
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Prior to Fall of 2012	100	_	-	-	-	-	S	S	-	-		
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Yo	ear					'						
Not at all	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
A little	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Somewhat	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Very	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Extremely	100	_	-	-	-	-	S	s	-	-		

Table 5.3b. Percent of Victims of Stalking Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status<sup>1, 2</sup> (continued)

				Female (n	=1,411)			Male (n=1,356)				
Survey Item Response	Total % StdErr			graduate ,,060) StdErr	Graduate or Professional (n=351)  % StdErr		Undergraduate (n=912) % StdErr		Profe	uate or ssional 444) StdErr		
Contacted Dartmouth Department of Safety and Security	38.3	6.0	42.6	10.2	s	S	59.4	17.8	-	-		
Most recent contact								'		'		
Fall of 2014 - present	69.3	12.8	68.8	17.5	-	-	100	0.0	-	-		
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	S	S	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-		
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	S	s	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Prior to Fall of 2012	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Y	'ear	'				'				'		
Not at all	S	S	S	S	-	-	S	s	-	-		
A little	S	s	-	-	S	S	-	-	-	-		
Somewhat	S	s	-	-	-	-	S	S	-	-		
Very	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Extremely	31.0	11.8	52.2	18.5	-	-	-	-	-	-		

Table 5.3b. Percent of Victims of Stalking Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status<sup>1, 2</sup> (continued)

				Female (n	=1,411)			Male (n=1,356)				
Survey Item	,	Total		Undergraduate (n=1,060)		Graduate or Professional (n=351)		raduate 912)	Profe	uate or ssional 444)		
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr		
Contacted Local Police Department	12.2	5.4	S	S	s	S	S	S	-	-		
Most recent contact	·								,			
Fall of 2014 - present	100	_	S	S	S	s	S	S	-	-		
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Prior to Fall of 2012	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 Schoo	Year		,		1					•		
Not at all	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
A little	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Somewhat	S	s	S	s	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Very	S	s	-	-	-	-	S	S	-	-		
Extremely	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	-	-	-		

Table 5.3b. Percent of Victims of Stalking Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status<sup>1, 2</sup> (continued)

Survey Item				Female (n	=1,411)		Male (n=1,356)				
	Total			raduate .,060)	Graduate or Professional (n=351)		Undergraduate (n=912)		Profe	uate or essional =444)	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Did not contact any programs	'				'				,		
Reason											
Did not know where to go or who to tell	19.5	4.5	16.3	6.2	27.2	11.7	S	S	S	s	
Felt embarrassed, ashamed, or that it would be too emotionally difficult	17.8	4.3	21.5	7.0	28.9	12.0	-	-	-	-	
I did not think anyone would believe me	16.6	4.5	19.4	7.0	S	S	-	-	S	S	
I did not think it was serious enough to report	58.8	6.1	60.8	8.1	53.7	13.6	52.6	15.3	S	S	
I did not want the person to get into trouble	18.5	4.9	18.5	6.9	31.0	12.8	-	-	-	-	
I feared negative social consequences	29.4	6.0	30.4	7.9	53.6	13.5	S	S	-	-	
I did not think anything would be done	39.7	5.5	42.7	7.5	40.4	13.5	34.0	16.0	S	S	
I feared it would not be kept confidential	22.7	5.3	17.1	6.5	27.0	11.6	43.7	17.9	-	-	
Incident was not on campus or associated with the school	10.1	3.4	12.3	5.2	S	S	S	S	-	-	
Incident did not occur while attending school	2.4	1.8	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Other	14.1	4.3	13.2	5.9	S	S	S	S	-	-	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Per 100 victims.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Unless otherwise specified, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 5.4. Percent of Students Experiencing Harassment, Intimate Partner Violence or Stalking by Type of Incident, Gender and Enrollment Status of Victim<sup>1, 2</sup>

				Female (	1,411)		Male (1,356)				
	T	Total		Undergraduate (1,060)		Graduate or Professional (351)		Undergraduate (912)		Graduate or Professional (444)	
Survey Item Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Harassment					,			,	•		
Sexual orientation											
Heterosexual	54.4	0.9	69.7	1.3	55.0	2.5	49.8	1.4	34.8	2.1	
Non-Heterosexual	71.2	2.3	88.4	2.5	61.7	8.1	67.6	4.0	51.8	6.1	
Ethnicity	'									.!	
Hispanic	54.7	2.5	70.3	4.1	43.5	7.8	52.0	4.4	33.6	7.5	
Not Hispanic	56.3	0.9	71.9	1.2	56.5	2.5	51.6	1.3	36.1	2.1	
Race		1						1	1		
American Indian or Alaska Native	65.2	3.8	74.5	5.7	67.3	17.6	57.3	8.3	S	S	
Asian	52.3	1.4	71.1	2.4	44.6	3.7	49.5	2.8	32.0	3.5	
Black or African American	72.0	2.9	75.5	4.2	76.7	8.0	71.5	5.2	53.0	10.6	
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	45.5	9.9	55.7	19.5	S	s	S	S	47.9	18.5	
White	56.5	0.9	71.9	1.3	60.5	2.6	50.5	1.7	38.4	2.6	
Intimate partner violence  Sexual orientation	·										
Heterosexual	6.5	0.4	9.5	0.8	4.4	0.9	5.4	0.7	5.1	0.9	
Non-Heterosexual	14.5	2.0	26.8	4.4	S	S	10.6	2.8	S	S	
Ethnicity	·										
Hispanic	6.3	1.4	10.2	3.3	-	-	7.8	2.8	S	S	
Not Hispanic	7.2	0.4	11.2	0.9	4.9	0.9	5.5	0.7	5.1	1.0	
Race				•							
American Indian or Alaska Native	20.2	3.8	32.5	6.4	-	-	S	S	-	-	
Asian	7.8	1.1	12.4	2.0	S	S	5.8	1.7	7.7	2.6	
Black or African American	10.9	2.1	18.3	4.3	S	s	S	S	-	-	
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
White	6.4	0.5	9.1	0.9	4.8	1.0	5.6	0.7	4.4	0.9	

Table 5.4. Percent of Students Experiencing Harassment, Intimate Partner Violence or Stalking by Type of Incident, Gender and Enrollment Status of Victim<sup>1, 2</sup> (continued)

				Female (	1,411)		Male (1,356)				
	Т	Total		raduate 060)	Profe	iate or ssional 51)			Profe	iate or ssional 44)	
Survey Item Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Stalking											
Sexual orientation											
Heterosexual	2.5	0.2	4.1	0.4	4.5	1.1	1.1	0.3	S	S	
Non-Heterosexual	9.0	1.5	15.6	3.5	17.8	6.4	6.0	2.3	-	-	
Ethnicity											
Hispanic	5.4	1.0	11.2	2.5	S	S	S	S	-	-	
Not Hispanic	2.9	0.2	4.5	0.4	5.4	1.1	1.5	0.4	S	S	
Race											
American Indian or Alaska Native	12.3	2.7	13.6	4.2	S	S	13.9	5.8	-	-	
Asian	2.7	0.5	3.4	0.8	6.9	1.8	1.6	0.7	-	-	
Black or African American	6.2	1.4	9.0	2.4	18.1	7.5	S	S	-	-	
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	- -	
White	2.8	0.3	5.1	0.6	4.7	1.1	1.2	0.4	S	S	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Per 100 students.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.