Barbara DeFelice and Carol Meyers presented on the architecture of the Dartmouth Academic Commons (DCA) and led a discussion of key questions in the implementation of the Dartmouth Faculty Open Access Policy and DAC. The following decisions were then made:

1. The start date for the Dartmouth Faculty Open Access Policy will be the date that a minimum implementation is in place to fulfill the intention of the Policy, so when there is a means for deposit of articles and a means for viewing and downloading the articles. Legally, the retention of rights in the Policy is in place upon voting to accept the policy. Once the start date is known, and all of the schools at Dartmouth have the Dartmouth Faculty Open Access Policy in place, the Library can communicate that to the journal publishers with whom Dartmouth faculty publish.

2. The Col advised that we use the term “publications” when discussing the DAC, and reserve the term “citations” for the context of “citing a work”.

3. The involvement of Fellows and Post-Docs was discussed, and although their work is eligible for DAC, the Dartmouth Faculty Open Access Policy does not apply to them unless they are members of the faculty groups that voted for the policy; this is, members of the Faculty of Arts & Sciences, Thayer Faculty, and Geisel Faculty.

4. The Col addressed the four questions posed about the DAC Policies, with these responses (see also the revised DAC Policy document):
   - Content type and format: agreement on that described in the document in the phase 1 and future phases
   - Deposit and Access Agreement: Will take up a draft of this at the next CoL meeting
   - Withdrawal of items: added more detail about which version is withdrawn, since the “master” file would be kept in a “dark archive”, but the public file withdrawn, with appropriate and standard metadata indicating the status, and in some cases, why the item was withdrawn from public access. Added a note that where needed, other units on campus would be notified, with the example being issues of scientific misconduct.
   - Maximum embargo period: set to 2 years, with possible extension if needed, and notifications of changes provided

Future topics regarding the Dartmouth Faculty Open Access Policy and DAC will include:

1. Discussion of how to best inform and encourage the adoption of the ORCID identifier by faculty, which is becoming required by publishers, and which assists in unambiguous identification of publications to the Dartmouth faculty involved.

2. Deposit agreement for DAC; review of a draft to be presented

3. Use of the interim deposit system- demo system, discuss working with faculty about using this

Jennifer Taxman then gave a brief update on the Library’s capital projects for FY17.
Eliz Kirk updated the CoL on shared print retention efforts.
The meeting was adjourned at 1:30 PM.