



## Neural correlates of thought suppression

Carrie L. Wyland\*, William M. Kelley, C. Neil Macrae,  
Heather L. Gordon, Todd F. Heatherton

*Department of Psychological and Brain Sciences, 6207 Moore Hall, Dartmouth College, Hanover, NH 03755, USA*

Received 12 December 2002; received in revised form 27 March 2003; accepted 5 August 2003

### Abstract

The present report used functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) to examine the neural correlates of thought suppression. Subjects were imaged while alternately (i) attempting to suppress a particular thought, (ii) attempting to suppress all thoughts, or (iii) thinking freely about any thought. Suppression of a particular thought, when compared to the free-thought control condition, revealed greater activation in the anterior cingulate. When the task of suppressing all conscious thoughts was compared to free-thought, a more distributed network of brain regions, including the anterior cingulate and the insula, was activated. These findings are consistent with previous research on cognitive control and may provide potential insights into psychological disorders involving recurring, intrusive thoughts.

© 2003 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

*Keywords:* Cognitive control; Anterior cingulate; Insula

### 1. Introduction

A fundamental human capacity is the ability to regulate and control our thoughts and behaviors. Neural substrates of cognitive control have been investigated using a variety of methods that require suppression of actions (Bush et al., 1998; Casey et al., 1997; Garavan, Ross, & Stein, 1999; Gondo, Shimonaka, Senda, Mishina, & Toyama, 2000; Kawashima et al., 1996; Kiehl, Smith, Hare, & Liddle, 2000; Leung, Skudlarski, Gatenby, Peterson, & Gore, 2000; MacDonald, Cohen, Stenger, & Carter, 2000). The procedures used in these studies require participants to inhibit behavior, such as refraining from making a button press in a go/no-go task (e.g. Gondo et al., 2000; Kawashima et al., 1996; Kiehl et al., 2000; Konishi, Nakajima, Uchida, Sekihara, & Miyashita, 1998; Liddle, Kiehl, & Smith, 2001) or inhibiting reading while naming the color in which a word is written (i.e. the Stoop task) (Bush et al., 1998; Leung et al., 2000). Less attention, however, has focused on the brain regions that are involved in the regulation of mental contents, such as when people are instructed to control their thoughts or memories (Bunge, Ochsner, Desmond, Glover, & Gabrieli, 2001; Wegner & Wenzlaff, 1996). Although regulating the contents of consciousness requires substantial cognitive effort (Wenzlaff & Wegner, 2000), thought control does not require the suppression of an overt motoric or

verbal response. Thus, it is unclear whether similar neural mechanisms are involved in this variant of cognitive control. Noting this ambiguity, the present study investigates the neural mechanisms that underlie directed thought suppression.

Mental control is required for people to function effectively in their daily lives. Successfully controlling our thoughts is difficult; unwanted worries intrude and thoughts frequently wander when they should be focused on the task or goal at hand (Wenzlaff & Wegner, 2000). These intrusive thoughts often arise automatically, without any conscious effort to call them forth. Difficulties with mental control and inhibition are core symptoms in various clinical disorders (Purdon, 1999), such as post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) (e.g. Davies & Clark, 1998; Steil & Ehlers, 2000), attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) (e.g. Caplan, Guthrie, Tang, Nuechterlein, & Asarnow, 2001), obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD) (e.g. Purdon, 2001; Tolin, Abramowitz, Hamlin, Foa, & Synodi, 2002), and depression (Beck, Rush, Shaw, & Emery, 1979; Greenberger & Padesky, 1995; Reynolds & Wells, 1999). Each of these disorders has been linked to deficits in the ability to regulate or suppress unwanted thoughts. The combined evidence from these several patient groups also raises the possibility that cognitive control over thoughts and actions may share common underlying neural mechanisms. Thus, understanding the neural basis of mental control over everyday thoughts in healthy individuals may provide insights into the cognitive processes associated with these various psychological disorders.

\* Corresponding author. Tel.: +1-603-646-3181; fax: +1-603-646-1419.  
E-mail address: wyland@dartmouth.edu (C.L. Wyland).

Cognitive control of thoughts and actions may involve similar component processes and therefore recruit common brain regions. Action inhibition typically involves activation of the anterior cingulate (Braver, Barch, Gray, Molfese, & Snyder, 2001; Liddle et al., 2001; MacDonald et al., 2000) and the prefrontal cortex (Casey et al., 1997; Dove, Pollman, Schubert, Wiggins, & von Cramon, 2000; Logan & Cowan, 1984; Miller, 2000). The anterior cingulate is active across a variety of tasks that require inhibition of prepotent responses, such as the Stroop task (Peterson et al., 1999) and the go/no-go task (Casey et al., 1997; Kiehl et al., 2000; Liddle et al., 2001). Additionally, research has shown that there is diminished anterior cingulate activity in patients with PTSD when they are responding to emotional words, suggesting that this structure may play a key role in the ability to suppress intrusive thoughts (Shin et al., 2001). Recent studies have also implicated the insula in aspects of cognitive control (Bunge, Klingberg, Torkel, Jacobson, & Gabrieli, 2000; Dove et al., 2000; Garavan et al., 1999; Rubia et al., 2001). Garavan et al., using event-related fMRI, showed right insula activation during a task that required inhibition of prepotent motor responses to target letters (Garavan et al., 1999). Similarly, Dove et al. reported insula activity during task switching (Dove et al., 2000). Thus, the accumulated evidence to date suggests that the insula may be an important brain region in the network that subserves cognitive control.

Extending work of this kind, the present research used fMRI to identify the neural substrates of intentional thought suppression. Specifically, subjects were imaged during three task conditions: (1) trying to suppress a specific, unwanted thought; (2) trying to suppress all conscious thought; and (3) thinking freely about anything.

## 2. Method

### 2.1. Participants

Twelve subjects (six males, mean age = 19.7 years) participated in the study in exchange for class credit or US\$ 10. All subjects were right-handed, reported no significant abnormal neurological history and had normal or corrected-to-normal visual acuity. Informed written consent for all participants was obtained prior to the experiment in accordance with the guidelines established by the Committee for the Protection of Human Subjects at Dartmouth College.

### 2.2. Materials

A block design was used with alternating epochs during which subjects viewed cue words presented in the center of the screen, indicating the task they were required to perform during the epoch. Visual stimuli were generated using a Dell computer running Cedrus Superlab Pro Version 2.10 software. Stimuli were projected to subjects with an Epson (model ELP-7000) LCD projector onto a screen positioned

at the head end of the bore. Subjects viewed the screen through a mirror. Cushions were used to minimize head movement.

### 2.3. Procedure

Subjects were informed that the study examined the brain mechanisms involved in thinking. Prior to scanning, all subjects were asked to produce a personally relevant thought that was currently salient to them (e.g. “study for an exam” or “a phone call with a distant girlfriend”). This thought was used as the target that subjects were later required to suppress. They were then placed in the scanner. Subjects viewed one of three different instructional cues: “suppress” (specific thought suppression task), “clear” (clear mind task), or “fixate,” (free-thought task). In the SUPPRESS task, subjects were directed to suppress the particular thought they had generated prior to scanning. In the CLEAR task, subjects were directed to clear their minds of all thoughts and to think of nothing at all. The FREE-THOUGHT task served as a control condition in which subjects were permitted to think about anything. Across four functional runs (counterbalanced across participants), subjects alternated between two of the task conditions. Within a functional run, there were eight alternating 30 s epochs. In two of the functional runs, subjects alternated between the SUPPRESS and FREE-THOUGHT tasks. In the remaining two runs they alternated between the CLEAR and FREE-THOUGHT tasks. Cue words to indicate task instruction remained on the screen for the entire 30 s epoch.

It is important to note that no behavioral measure was collected during the functional runs. An important aspect of the current paradigm was to assess similarities and differences between the cognitive control of thought and behavior. In order to dissociate these two processes, no overt behavioral response was collected (e.g. pushing a button to index thought intrusions) as such a requirement contaminates thought suppression with response generation. Moreover, the current experiment was not concerned with failures of cognitive control per se, but rather the ongoing process of mental regulation. Post-experimental debriefing indicated that subjects found both the SUPPRESS and CLEAR tasks to be difficult, and all participants reported the occurrence of intrusive thoughts during the tasks.

### 2.4. Image acquisition

Imaging was performed on a 1.5 T whole body scanner (General Electric Medical Systems Signa, Milwaukee, Wisconsin) with a standard head coil. Anatomical images were acquired using a high resolution 3-D spoiled gradient recovery sequence (SPGR; 124 sagittal slices, TE = 6 ms, TR = 25 ms, flip angle = 25°, voxel size = 1 mm × 1 mm × 1.2 mm). Functional images were collected in runs using a gradient spin-echo echo-planar sequence sensitive to blood oxygen level-dependent (BOLD) contrast (T2\*)

(TR = 2500 ms, T2\* evolution time = 35 ms, flip angle = 90°, 3.75 mm × 3.75 mm in-plane resolution). During each functional run, 75 sets of axial images (25 slices; 5.5 mm slice thickness, 1 mm skip between slices) were acquired allowing complete brain coverage.

### 2.5. Image analysis

All data were analyzed using SPM99 software (Wellcome Department of Cognitive Neurology, London, UK). For each functional run, data were preprocessed to remove sources of noise and artifact. Functional data were realigned within and across runs to correct for head movement and coregistered with each subject's anatomical data. Functional data were then transformed into a standard anatomical space based on the ICBM 152 brain template (Montreal Neurological Institute). Normalized data were then spatially smoothed (8 mm full-width-at-half-maximum (FWHM)) using a Gaussian kernel. The normalized and smoothed images were then used for statistical analyses.

For each subject, a general linear model, incorporating task effects (modeled as a box-car function convolved with the canonical hemodynamic response function), a mean, and a linear trend were used to compute parameter estimates ( $\beta$ ) and  $t$ -contrast images (containing weighted-parameter estimates) for each comparison at each voxel. A random-effects analysis (one-sample  $t$ -test, hypothesized mean = 0) was then applied to the individual subject  $t$ -contrast images to create mean  $t$ -images (thresholded at  $P = 0.001$ , uncorrected). This permitted several comparisons to be undertaken. First, each thought suppression condition (CLEAR and SUPPRESS) could be contrasted with the FREE-THOUGHT control condition. Second, the thought suppression conditions could be directly contrasted to reveal potential differences between suppression of a specific thought and the more global challenge of suppressing all thought.

Table 1

Identification of BOLD signal changes in task comparisons

Brain region	X	Y	Z	Z-score
<b>Increases</b>				
<b>SUPPRESS &gt; FREE-THOUGHT</b>				
Anterior cingulate gyrus (BA 32)	-3	11	44	3.35
<b>CLEAR &gt; FREE-THOUGHT</b>				
Right insula (BA 44)	50	6	8	4.22
Left inferior frontal/insula (BA 45/46)	-50	44	6	3.81
Anterior cingulate gyrus (BA 32/24)	6	0	55	3.80
Right parietal (BA 40)	56	-45	33	3.56
<b>CLEAR &gt; SUPPRESS</b>				
Right temporal, insula (BA 22/47)	56	0	0	3.75
Left temporal, insula (BA 22/47)	-59	9	0	3.72
Right inferior parietal (BA 40)	56	-36	32	3.65
<b>Decreases</b>				
<b>FREE-THOUGHT &gt; SUPPRESS</b>				
Cingulate gyrus (BA 31)	12	-7	45	3.57
<b>FREE-THOUGHT &gt; CLEAR</b>				
Right precuneus (BA 31)	6	-66	17	5.09
Left precuneus (BA 31)	-12	-60	35	4.07

### 3. Results

Fig. 1 and Table 1 show task-related activity when the SUPPRESS and CLEAR conditions were separately compared to the FREE-THOUGHT condition and to each other. When the SUPPRESS condition was compared to the FREE-THOUGHT condition, significant activation was observed in the anterior cingulate (Brodmann's area (BA) 32). When the CLEAR condition was compared to the FREE-THOUGHT condition, a more distributed network of brain regions was activated, including the anterior cingulate (BA 32/24), as well as regions of right insular cortex (BA 44), right medial frontal cortex (BA 6), right parietal cortex




Fig. 1. Significant activation was observed in the anterior cingulate (A) during the SUPPRESS (left panel) and CLEAR (middle panel) conditions when each of these conditions were compared to the FREE-THOUGHT control condition. Additional activations were noted during the CLEAR condition in the right insula (B) as well as the left insula and right parietal cortex (not shown, see Table 1). When the two thought suppression conditions were directly contrasted (right panel), significant activation was observed in the right insula (B). Statistical images (thresholded at  $P < 0.001$ , uncorrected) are averaged across subjects and superimposed on a representative three-dimensional anatomical image.

(BA 40), and left inferior frontal cortex (BA 45/46). Given these findings, we sought to examine whether these regions differed between the two thought suppression conditions. In this direct comparison (CLEAR versus SUPPRESS), greater activation was observed in the insula bilaterally (BA 22/47) and the right inferior parietal cortex (BA 40). There were no brain areas that demonstrated significantly greater activity during the SUPPRESS task than during the CLEAR task.

#### 4. Discussion

Extending previous investigations of cognitive control, the present work considered the neural correlates of thought suppression. The results indicated that the brain regions previously implicated in the suppression of overt behavior were also active during attempts to control the emergence of unwanted thoughts. Neural activity, however, was modulated by the nature of the suppression task. When subjects attempted to suppress a specific, salient thought, activity was limited to the anterior cingulate. A more distributed network of brain regions, including the insular cortex, was engaged when subjects attempted the more general task of banishing all thoughts from consciousness.

Increased activity in the anterior cingulate during the suppression of thoughts is consistent with previous work demonstrating the role of this structure during cognitive control of behaviors (Casey et al., 1997; Kiehl et al., 2000; Liddle et al., 2001; Peterson et al., 1999). The anterior cingulate may function to monitor task performance, perhaps by acting as a vigilance monitor for intrusions of unwanted target thoughts and actions. Collectively, such findings are consistent with the viewpoint that a central function of the anterior cingulate is conflict monitoring for response competition and interference (Botvinick, Braver, Barch, Carter & Cohen, 2001; MacDonald et al., 2000). What we have shown here is that anterior cingulate is responsive to conflict monitoring in the domain of thought suppression, regardless of the characteristics of the suppression task. In a related study, we required participants to signal the occurrence of intrusive thoughts via an overt button press, which contrasts with the current investigation (Mitchell, Heatherton, Kelley, Wyland, & Macrae, 2003). Despite this methodological difference, once again there was a correlation between attempted thought suppression and anterior cingulate activity. Specifically, the magnitude of anterior cingulate activity accompanying an intrusive thought predicted the length of time to the next such regulatory failure, thus confirming a critical functional role for this structure in cognitive control.

The insular activation observed in the present study is also consistent with recent findings from studies of overt behavioral control (e.g. Bunge, Dudukovic, Thomason, Vaidya, & Gabrieli, 2002; Garavan et al., 1999; Rubia et al., 2001). Bunge et al. observed right inferior frontal and right insula activity in a modified flanker task, in which participants had to respond to a target while disregarding nearby dis-

tracting information (Bunge et al., 2002). How then might the insula be involved in intentional mental control? The insular cortex has been implicated in somatosensory integration (Augustine, 1996), pain perception (Augustine, 1996; Peyron, Laurent, & Garcia-Larrea, 2000), and the experience of some emotional states (Mayberg et al., 1999), especially disgust (Phillips, Young, Senior, Brammer, Andrews, & Calder et al., 1997). Although the functional significance of its involvement in cognitive control is currently unknown, one plausible role for the insula may lie in the integration of bodily and mental states. For instance, the insula may act as a somatic marker (i.e. Damasio, 1994), integrating the cognitive and affective components of mental control. Additional research is needed to clarify this possibility.

The methods of cognitive neuroscience have paved the way towards understanding higher mental operations, such as cognitive control. Considerable evidence has suggested that specific brain regions, notably the anterior cingulate and the insula, function to regulate unwanted behavior. An unanswered question concerns the extent to which these brain regions support control over internally-generated experiences, the sort of experiences (thoughts, memories) that demand suppression in daily life but require no behavioral inhibition. Our findings provide evidence that common neural circuitry is involved in these various forms of cognitive control. As failures of mental control are a sometimes debilitating feature of daily life, understanding the brain mechanisms that support the capacity to control mental contents may provide insights into the nature of various psychological disorders that are characterized by the recurrence of unwanted and intrusive thoughts.

#### Acknowledgements

We thank Tammy Laroche, Wendy Starr, and Jeff Woodward for their assistance. This research was supported in part by a grant from the National Science Foundation to TFH (BCS 0072861) and the Dartmouth Brain Imaging Center.

#### References

- Augustine, J. R. (1996). Circuitry and functional aspects of the insular lobe in primates including humans. *Brain Research Reviews*, 22, 229–244.
- Beck, A. T., Rush, A. J., Shaw, B., & Emery, G. (1979). *Cognitive therapy of depression*. New York: Guilford Press.
- Botvinick, M. M., Braver, T. S., Barch, D. M., Carter, C. S., & Cohen, J. D. (2001). Conflict monitoring and cognitive control. *Psychological Science*, 108(3), 624–654.
- Braver, T. S., Barch, D. M., Gray, J. R., Molfese, D. L., & Snyder, A. (2001). Anterior cingulate cortex and response conflict: Effects of frequency, inhibition and errors. *Cerebral Cortex*, 11(9), 825–836.
- Bunge, S. A., Klingberg, T., Jacobson, R. B., & Gabrieli, J. D. E. (2000). A resource model of the neural basis of executive working memory. *PNAS*, 97(7), 3573–3578.
- Bunge, S. A., Ochsner, K. N., Desmond, J. E., Glover, G. H., & Gabrieli, J. D. E. (2001). Prefrontal regions involved in keeping information in and out of mind. *Brain*, 124, 2074–2086.

- Bunge, S. A., Dudukovic, N. M., Thomason, M. E., Vaidya, C. J., & Gabrieli, J. D. E. (2002). Immature frontal lobe contributions to cognitive control in children: Evidence from fMRI. *Neuron*, *33*, 301–311.
- Bush, G., Whalen, P. J., Rosen, B. R., Jenike, M. A., McInerney, S. C., & Rauch, S. L. (1998). The counting Stroop: An interference task specialized for functional neuroimaging: Validation study with functional MRI. *Human Brain Mapping*, *6*(4), 270–282.
- Caplan, R., Guthrie, D., Tang, B., Nuechterlein, K. H., & Asarnow, R. F. (2001). Thought disorder in attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, *40*(8), 965–972.
- Casey, B. J., Trainor, R. J., Orendi, J. L., Schubert, A. B., Nystrom, L. E., & Giedd, J. N. et al., (1997). A developmental functional MRI study of prefrontal activation during performance of a go/no-go task. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, *9*(6), 835–847.
- Damasio, A. R. (1994). *Descartes' error: Emotion, reason and the human brain*. New York: Avon Books.
- Davies, M. I., & Clark, D. M. (1998). Thought suppression produces a rebound effect with analogue post-traumatic intrusions. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, *36*(6), 571–582.
- Dove, A., Pollman, S., Schubert, T., Wiggins, C., & von Cramon, D. Y. (2000). Prefrontal cortex activation in task switching: An event-related fMRI study. *Cognitive Brain Research*, *9*, 103–109.
- Garavan, H., Ross, T. J., & Stein, E. A. (1999). Right hemispheric dominance of inhibitory control: An event-related functional MRI study. *PNAS*, *96*, 8301–8306.
- Gondo, Y., Shimonaka, Y., Senda, M., Mishina, M., & Toyama, H. (2000). The role of the prefrontal cortex in the go/no-go task in humans: A positron emission tomography study. *Japanese Psychological Research*, *42*(1), 36–44.
- Greenberger, D., & Padesky, C. A. (1995). *Mind over mood: A cognitive therapy treatment manual for clients*. New York: Guilford Press.
- Kawashima, R., Satoh, K., Itoh, H., Ono, S., Furumoto, S., & Gotoh, R. et al., (1996). Functional anatomy of go/no-go discrimination and response selection—A PET study in man. *Brain Research*, *728*(1), 79–89.
- Kiehl, K. A., Smith, A. M., Hare, R. D., & Liddle, P. F. (2000). An event-related potential investigation of response inhibition in schizophrenia and psychopathy. *Biological Psychiatry*, *48*, 210–221.
- Konishi, S., Nakajima, K., Uchida, I., Sekihara, K., & Miyashita, Y. (1998). No-go dominant brain activity in human inferior prefrontal cortex reveals by magnetic resonance imaging. *European Journal of Neuroscience*, *10*(3), 1209–1213.
- Leung, H., Skudlarski, P., Gatenby, J. C., Peterson, B. S., & Gore, J. C. (2000). An event-related function MRI study of the Stroop color word interference task. *Cerebral Cortex*, *10*, 552–560.
- Liddle, P. F., Kiehl, K. A., & Smith, A. M. (2001). Event-related fMRI study of response inhibition. *Human Brain Mapping*, *12*, 100–109.
- Logan, G. D., & Cowan, W. B. (1984). On the ability to inhibit thought and action: A theory of an act of control. *Psychological Review*, *91*(3), 295–327.
- MacDonald, A. W., Cohen, J. D., Stenger, V. A., & Carter, C. S. (2000). Dissociating the role of the dorsolateral prefrontal and anterior cingulate cortex in cognitive control. *Science*, *288*(5472), 1835–1838.
- Mayberg, H. S., Liotti, M., Brannan, S. K., McGinnis, S., Mahurin, R. K., & Jerabek, P. A. et al., (1999). Reciprocal limbic–cortical function and negative mood: Converging PET findings in depression and normal sadness. *The American Journal of Psychiatry*, *156*(5), 675–682.
- Miller, E. K. (2000). The prefrontal cortex and cognitive control. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, *1*(1), 59–65.
- Mitchell, J. P., Heatherton, T. F., Kelley, W. M., Wyland, C. L., & Macrae, C. N. (2003). *Anterior cingulate activity predicts the suppression of intrusive thoughts*. Submitted for publication.
- Peterson, B. S., Skudlarski, P., Gatenby, J. C., Zhang, H., Anderson, A. W., & Gore, J. C. (1999). An fMRI study of Stroop word-color interference: Evidence for cingulate subregions subserving multiple distributed attentional systems. *Biological Psychiatry*, *45*, 1237–1258.
- Peyron, R., Laurent, B., & Garcia-Larrea, L. (2000). Functional imaging of brain responses to pain. A review and meta-analysis. *Clinical Neurophysiology*, *30*(5), 263–288.
- Phillips, M. L., Young, A. W., Senior, C., Brammer, M. C. A., Andrews, C., & Calder, A. J. et al., (1997). A specific neural substrate for perceiving facial expressions of disgust. *Nature*, *389*(6650), 495–498.
- Purdon, C. (1999). Thought suppression and psychopathology. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, *37*, 1029–1054.
- Purdon, C. (2001). Appraisal of obsession thought recurrences: Impact on anxiety and mood state. *Behavior Therapy*, *32*(1), 47–64.
- Reynolds, M., & Wells, A. (1999). The thought control questionnaire—Psychometric properties in a clinical sample and relationships with PTSD and depression. *Psychological Medicine*, *29*(5), 1089–1099.
- Rubia, K., Russell, T., Overmeyer, S., Brammer, M. J., Bullmore, E. T., & Simmons, A. et al., (2001). Mapping motor inhibition: Conjunctive brain activations across different versions of go/no-go and stop tasks. *Neuroimage*, *13*(2), 250–261.
- Shin, L. M., Whalen, P. J., Pitman, R. K., Bush, G., Macklin, M. L., & Lasko, N. B. et al., (2001). An fMRI study of anterior cingulate function in posttraumatic stress disorder. *Biological Psychiatry*, *50*, 932–942.
- Steil, R., & Ehlers, A. (2000). Dysfunctional meaning of posttraumatic intrusions in chronic PTSD. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, *38*, 537–558.
- Tolin, D. F., Abramowitz, J. S., Hamlin, C., Foa, E. B., & Synodi, D. S. (2002). Attributions for thought suppression failure in obsessive–compulsive disorder. *Cognitive Therapy and Research*, *26*(4), 505–517.
- Wegner, D. M., & Wenzlaff, R. M. (1996). Mental control. In *Social Psychology: Handbook of basic principles* (pp. 466–492). New York: Guilford Press.
- Wenzlaff, R. M., & Wegner, D. M. (2000). Thought suppression. *Annual Review of Psychology*, *51*, 59–91.